UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
OSCAR PABLO TORRES,	No. 1:17-cv-00169-LJO-SKO (HC)	
Petitioner,		
v.	ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL	
SUZANNE PEERY,		
Respondent.	(Doc. 49)	
Petitioner Oscar Pablo Torres, proceeding with a petition for writ of habeas corpus		
pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254, moves for appointment of counsel.		
In federal habeas proceedings, no absolute right to appointment of counsel currently		
exists. See, e.g., Anderson v. Heinze, 258 F.2d 479, 481 (9th Cir. 1958); Mitchell v. Wyrick, 727		
F.2d 773, 774 (8th Cir. 1984). Nonetheless, a court may appoint counsel at any stage of the case		
"if the interests of justice so require." 18 U.S.C. § 3006A(a)(2)(B); Rule 8(c), Rules Governing		
Section 2254 Cases. Petitioner contends that the Court should appoint counsel based on		
Petitioner's indigence, the complexity of the case, and lack of knowledge of the law. Because		
nearly all prisoners share these characteristics, Petitioner, who has competently submitted a		
petition, alleges no basis by which the Court may appoint counsel on his behalf.		
Petitioner's motion for appointment of counsel is hereby DENIED.		
	OSCAR PABLO TORRES, Petitioner, V. SUZANNE PEERY, Respondent.  Petitioner Oscar Pablo Torres, proceedi pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254, moves for appoin In federal habeas proceedings, no absol exists. See, e.g., Anderson v. Heinze, 258 F.2d F.2d 773, 774 (8th Cir. 1984). Nonetheless, a c "if the interests of justice so require." 18 U.S.C Section 2254 Cases. Petitioner contends that th Petitioner's indigence, the complexity of the can nearly all prisoners share these characteristics, petition, alleges no basis by which the Court m	

1	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
2	Dated:	<b>September 24, 2018</b>	Is/ Sheila K. Oberto
3	Dated.	September 24, 2010	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
4			
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
<ul><li>27</li><li>28</li></ul>			