

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

EDWARD HERNANDEZ,

Plaintiff,

v.

AVIS BUDGET GROUP, INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

**Case No. 1:17-cv-00211-DAD-GSA**

**ORDER GRANTING IN FORMA  
PAUPERIS APPLICATION**

(ECF No. 2)

Plaintiff Edward Hernandez filed a complaint on February 14, 2017 and an application to proceed *in forma pauperis* on that same day. (ECF Nos. 1, 2). Plaintiff has made the required showing pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a). Accordingly, the request to proceed *in forma pauperis* is GRANTED.

As to the status of his complaint, Plaintiff is advised that pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2), the court must conduct an initial review of every pro se complaint to determine whether it is legally sufficient under the applicable pleading standards. The court must dismiss a complaint, or portion thereof, if the court determines that the complaint is legally frivolous or malicious, fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted, or seeks monetary relief from a defendant who is immune from such relief. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2). If the court determines that the complaint fails to state a claim, leave to amend may be granted to the extent that the deficiencies in the complaint can be cured by amendment. Plaintiff's complaint will be screened

1 in due course.

2 IT IS SO ORDERED.

3  
4 Dated: March 6, 2017

/s/ Eric P. Groj  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE