1		
2		
3		
4		
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
6	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
7		
8	H.W.J. DESIGNS FOR AGRIBUSINESS, INC., et al.	No. 1:17-cv-00272-AWI-SKO
9	Plaintiffs,	
10	v.	ORDER DIRECTING THE CLERK OF COURT TO CLOSE THE CASE
11	RETHCEIF ENTERPRISES, LLC., et al.,	(Doc. 182)
12	Defendants.	
13		
14		
15	On November 13, 2010, the parties filed a joint stipulation dismissing the action with prejudice. <sup>1</sup> (Doc. 182.) In light of the parties' stipulation, this action has been terminated, <i>see</i> Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a)(1)(A)(ii); <i>Wilson v. City of San Jose</i> , 111 F.3d 688, 692 (9th Cir. 1997), and has been dismissed with prejudice. Accordingly, the Clerk of Court is directed to close this case.	
16		
17		
18 19		
20	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
21	Datada November 16 2020	s  Sheila K. Oberto
22	Dated: <b>November 16, 2020</b>	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
23		
24		
25	The parties also requested that the Court "retain jurisdiction of this action for the purpose of resolving any controversy arising under" their confidential settlement agreement. ( <i>See</i> Doc. 182 at 1.) The Court in its discretion declines the parties' request. <i>See Kokkonen v. Guardian Life Ins. Co. of America</i> , 511 U.S. 375, 381 (1994); <i>Camacho v. City of San Luis</i> , 359 F. App'x 794, 798 (9th Cir. 2009); <i>California Sportfishing Prot. All. v. Agric. Mgmt. &amp; Prod. Co., Inc.</i> , No. 2:14-cv-02328-KJM-AC, 2016 WL 4796841, at *1 (E.D. Cal. Sept. 14, 2016) (noting that "the court in its discretion typically declines to maintain jurisdiction to enforce the terms of the parties"	
26		
27		
28		

28

settlement agreement.").