

1 VICTOR DE GYARFAS, CA Bar No. 171950
 vdegyarfas@foley.com
 2 **FOLEY & LARDNER LLP**
 555 SOUTH FLOWER STREET, SUITE 3500
 3 LOS ANGELES, CA 94104-1520
 TEL: 213.972.4500 FACSIMILE: 213.486.0065

4 MICHAEL R. HOUSTON, Pro Hac Vice
 mhouston@foley.com
 5 **FOLEY & LARDNER LLP**
 321 NORTH CLARK STREET, SUITE 2800
 6 CHICAGO, IL 60654
 7 TEL: 312.832.4500 FAX: 312.832.4700

8 Attorneys for Plaintiffs H.W.J. DESIGNS FOR
 AGRIBUSINESS, INC. AND SAMUEL
 9 STRAPPING SYSTEMS, INC.

10
 11 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
 12 **EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

13
 14 H.W.J. DESIGNS FOR AGRIBUSINESS,)
 INC. and SAMUEL STRAPPING)
 15 SYSTEMS, INC.,)

Case No. 1:17-cv-00272-AWI-SKO

STIPULATED ESI PROTOCOL ORDER

16 Plaintiffs,)

17 vs.)

18 RETHCEIF ENTERPRISES, LLC A/K/A)
 RETHCEIF PACKAGING, and L.P.)
 19 BROWN COMPANY, INC. D/B/A)
 INTERNATIONAL FIBER PACKAGING,)

20 Defendants.)
 21

1 The parties to this Stipulated ESI Protocol Order have agreed to the terms of this Order.

2 This protocol describes certain specifications for document production consistent with Fed. R.
3 Civ. P. 26 or 34. It is not intended to supersede or conflict with either of those Rules. Issues, if any,
4 arising on subject matter within the scope of this Order, but not directly addressed in it, shall be governed
5 by those Rules except as otherwise stipulated by the parties under Rule 29 or as addressed by motion as
6 described in Section 8 below.

7 **1. DEFINITIONS**

8 Definitions will be construed according to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and The Sedona
9 Conference® Glossary: E-Discovery & Digital Information Management (Fourth Edition), which is
10 available at <https://thesedonaconference.org/download-pub/3757> (last accessed June 6, 2017).

11 **2. CUSTODIANS**

12 The parties will meet and confer to identify their respective custodians and the production priority
13 for them.

14 **3. DOCUMENT PROCESSING**

15 3.1 Hidden Data. The parties will, during processing, force on the following:

- 16 i. auto date (absent special circumstances, code information will be provided in place
17 of date);
- 18 ii. track changes (absent special circumstances, the TIFF images will contain all track
19 changes);
- 20 iii. hidden columns or rows (absent special circumstances, noting that hidden columns
21 and/or rows exist will be sufficient);
- 22 iv. hidden text or worksheets; and
- 23 v. comments (absent special circumstances, these should be visible on the TIFF images
24 of the documents).

25 **4. DATA CULLING**

26 4.1 Duplicates. The parties will use industry standard MD5Hash or SHA-256 hash values to
27 de-duplicate documents. The parties will apply de-duplication on a family basis. The parties will
28

1 de-duplicate within each custodian (“vertical de-duplication”) and across custodians (“horizontal
2 de-duplication”).

- 3 i. The parties will not de-duplicate loose electronic documents against email
4 attachments;
- 5 ii. The parties will not count a document containing handwritten notes, highlighting,
6 or any other markings as a duplicate of a non-marked or annotated version of the
7 same document.

8 4.2 Date Range. The parties will meet and confer regarding the start date and end date to be
9 applied to limit documents. If any family member falls with the given date range, the entire family will be
10 included. The parties’ discussion of date range will include the issue of whether, if any of the fields Date
11 Sent, Date Received, Date Created, Date Last Modified, and/or Date Last Printed fall within the or a
12 specific date range, a document will be included.

13 4.3 Search Terms. The parties will meet and confer regarding the search terms to be applied to
14 limit documents and the appropriate number of such search terms. If any family member hits on a search
15 term, the entire family will be included. The parties further agree that applying the agreed-upon search
16 terms to a given party’s collection of electronically stored information (“ESI”) does not by itself satisfy
17 that party’s obligations in responding to specific discovery requests; there may be categories of documents
18 requiring collection other than by application of search terms. To the extent possible, parties shall run
19 OCR conversion on non-searchable PDF files and other stand-alone files and email attachments that do
20 not have searchable text in order to make them searchable before running the searches.

21 4.4 The parties agree that search terms, custodians, and/or date ranges may need to be adjusted
22 or supplemented as discovery proceeds. The parties will meet and confer in a timely fashion regarding
23 such additional search terms, custodians, and/or date ranges.

24 **5. PRODUCTION**

25 5.1 Cover Document. The parties will provide a cover document (or email for documents
26 produced via FTP site) with each production containing the following information:

- 27 i. the case name and number;
- 28

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
2. File names cannot contain embedded spaces or special characters;
- ii. Data Load File Requirements. The parties will produce a Concordance-compatible, data load file with each production volume. The data load file will contain a header row listing all of the applicable metadata fields listed in Appendix A.
1. The parties are not obligated to produce metadata from a document if metadata does not exist or if the metadata is not machine extractable; except, the parties will produce custodian metadata for hard-copy documents to the extent that a custodian is reasonably known or can be assigned;
- iii. Extracted and OCR Text Requirements. The parties will provide electronically extracted text for all types of ESI except for MS-Excel spreadsheets. The parties will provide optical character recognition (“OCR”) text for documents that do not contain electronically extractable text, for redacted documents, and for hard-copy documents.
1. The parties will provide document text as separate, document-level text files not embedded in the metadata load file;
 2. The parties will name each text file with the unique Bates number of the first page of the corresponding TIFF, followed by the extension “txt”;

21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

5.4 Native Production. The parties will produce MS-Excel, CSV spreadsheets, and MS-PowerPoint files in native form, to the extent such files are possessed by the producing party in native form. The parties will replace the TIFF image with a document placeholder containing a unique Bates number and language sufficient to convey that the document was produced in native form, along with any applicable protective order designation. The text file will contain the extracted text of the native file. Should any TIFF image not be legible, the parties will work together to provide native versions of such documents on a case-by-case basis.

- i. The parties will name each native file with the unique Bates number of the first

1 page of the corresponding TIFF, followed by the appropriate extension (“xls,”
2 “csv,” or “ppt”);

- 3 ii. The parties will provide a path to each native file in the data load file;
4 iii. When redaction is necessary, a redacted full TIFF version may be produced instead
5 iv. When documents of file types such as audio files, DWG files, AutoCAD files,
6 Access databases, Microsoft Project files, Log files, and the like cannot be
7 converted to image files or cannot be converted without undue burden and/or
8 expense, such documents may be produced in native format.

9 5.5 Other Files. The parties will meet and confer to discuss a suitable production format for
10 any document types not addressed herein.

11 6. CONFIDENTIALITY

12 Confidentiality of documents produced will be designated in accordance with the Stipulated
13 Protective Order entered in the case.

14 7. PRIVILEGE

15 7.1 Privilege Log. The parties will provide a privilege log for any document withheld in whole
16 or in part (i.e., redacted) based upon a claim of privilege no later than sixty (60) days prior to the close of
17 fact discovery. The parties further agree to meet and confer regarding the production of a privilege log
18 prior to any deposition(s) as necessary. The log will detail the following for each document:

- 19 i. an identification number for documents withheld in whole;
20 ii. a Bates number for documents withheld in part (i.e., redacted);
21 iii. the document date, to the extent reasonably discernible;
22 iv. the author(s) (i.e., from) with an asterisk identifying any legal personnel;
23 v. the recipient(s) (i.e., to, cc, and bcc) with an asterisk identifying any legal
24 personnel;
25 vi. an identification of any attachments to a document being withheld, accompanied by
26 an indication of where such attachments appear on the privilege log or that such
27 attachments have been produced;
28

- 1 vii. the basis of the privilege claimed;
- 2 viii. a description sufficient to allow the receiving party to assess the privilege claim;
- 3 and
- 4 ix. a listing of the names of any legal personnel listed on the privilege log and the name
- 5 of the business entity with which such legal personnel were affiliated as of the time
- 6 of the withheld communication.

7 7.2 The parties agree that privileged or work product documents that were prepared, generated,

8 and/or created subsequent to or directly related to the preparation and filing of the complaint,

9 counter-claims, or answers need not be included on a privilege log.

10

11 **8. MISCELLAENOUS**

12 The parties will meet and confer to resolve any document production disputes arising from or not

13 addressed in this protocol. Agreement to this protocol, and any stipulations on its subject matter pursuant

14 to Fed.R.Civ.P. 29, shall not be construed as a waiver of any rights of any party under applicable law or the

15 right to seek modification or supplementation of this Order by normal motion practice under Civil Local

16 Rules 141.1 and 251. Without limiting the foregoing, agreement to this protocol shall not be construed as

17 a waiver of any party's right to pursue forensic copies of memory storage media or other devices. The

18 provisions of this Order are a stipulation, made by the parties based on their ability to foresee various

19 aspects of ESI discovery at this stage of the case. While it has been entered by the Court to provide the

20 protections intended by such stipulation, it is not the result of an actual adjudication by the Court of any

21 contested issue. Accordingly, the Court's consideration of any motion with respect to this Order shall be

22 de novo. Action taken or omitted to be taken based on a good faith, reasonable belief in its propriety under

23 this Order, or due to circumstances outside the control of an alleged violator, shall not be deemed a

24 Contempt of Court.

25

26 IT IS SO STIPULATED, THROUGH COUNSEL OF RECORD.

27

28

1 /s/ Victor de Gyrfas _____

Date: November 7, 2017

2 **FOLEY & LARDNER LLP**

3 Attorneys for Plaintiff H.W.J. DESIGNS FOR AGRIBUSINESS, INC. and SAMUEL STRAPPING
4 SYSTEMS, INC.
5
6
7

8 /s/ R. Eric Gaum _____

Date: November 7, 2017

9 **HAHN LOESER & PARKS LLP**

10 Attorneys for Defendant RETHCEIF ENTERPRISES, LLC a/k/a RETHCEIF PACKAGING
11
12

13 /s/ Steven D. Moore _____

Date: November 7, 2017

14 **KILPATRICK TOWNSEND & STOCKTON LLP**

15 Attorneys for Defendant L.P. BROWN CO., INC.
16
17

18 **ORDER**

19
20 IT IS SO ORDERED.

21 Dated: November 9, 2017

22 /s/ Sheila H. Oberto
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
23
24
25
26
27
28

APPENDIX A

PROD_BED

PROD_END

PRODATTACH_BEG

PRODATTACH_END

Confidentiality

Page Count

Document_Type

Email To

Email From

Email CC

Email BCC

Email Subject

Date_Sent

Time_Sent

Date_Received

Time_Received

Custodian

Date_Created

Time_Created

Date_Last_Modified

Time_Last_Modified

Filename

Filesize

MD5 Hash

Title

Doc_Extension

Text Precedence

File Path