

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

GUILLERMO G. PAEZ, JR.,
Plaintiff,
v.
CORIZON HEALTH, INC.,
Defendant.

Case No. 1:17-cv-00285-MJS (PC)

**ORDER DISMISSING ACTION, WITHOUT
PREJUDICE, FOR FAILURE TO PROVIDE
A CURRENT ADDRESS**
**CLERK TO TERMINATE PENDING
MOTIONS AND CLOSE CASE**

Plaintiff is a pretrial detainee proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action brought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff has consented to Magistrate Judge jurisdiction. (ECF No. 5.) No other parties have appeared.

On April 25, 2017, the Court screened Plaintiff's complaint pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(ii) and found it stated no cognizable claims. (ECF No. 10.) Plaintiff was directed to file an amended complaint or a notice of voluntary dismissal within thirty days. On May 12, 2017, the screening order was returned as undeliverable. To date, Plaintiff has not updated his address.

1 Local Rule 183(b) requires parties proceeding pro se to keep the Court apprised of
2 their current address: "If mail directed to a plaintiff in propria persona by the Clerk is
3 returned by the U.S. Postal service, and if such plaintiff fails to notify the Court and
4 opposing parties within sixty-three (63) days thereafter of a current address, the Court
5 may dismiss the action without prejudice for failure to prosecute." Here, more than sixty-
6 three days have passed without Plaintiff providing the Court with his current address.

7 Accordingly, the action is HEREBY DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE, based
8 on Plaintiff's failure to provide a current address. The Clerk of Court shall terminate any
9 pending motions and close this case.

10
11 IT IS SO ORDERED.

12 Dated: July 25, 2017

/s/ Michael J. Seng
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28