

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
10

11 JESSE WASHINGTON,

12 Plaintiff,

13 v.

14 H. GAMBOA and R. ROQUE,

15 Defendants.  
16

Case No. 1:17-cv-00302-DAD-EPG

ORDER RE: PLAINTIFF'S REQUEST FOR  
COURT ORDER AND AGREED  
SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE

(ECF No. 37)

17 Plaintiff, Jesse Washington, has filed a request for a settlement conference (ECF No. 37).  
18 In his request, he asks that a settlement conference be set for this case and two other cases he has  
19 pending in the Eastern District of California: *Washington v. Gustafson*, Case No. 2:14-cv-00628-  
20 TLN-DB; and *Washington v. Rouch*, Case No. 1:15-cv-00725-DAD-BAM.

21 Since Plaintiff made his request, a settlement conference was held on August 14, 2018, in  
22 *Washington v. Gustafson*, but the case did not settle. (See Case No. 2:14-cv-00628-TLN-DB,  
23 ECF No. 72). Also, in *Washington v. Rouch*, the magistrate judge has issued findings and  
24 recommendations that summary judgment be granted in favor of defendants (1:15-cv-00725-  
25 DAD-BAM, ECF No. 43).

26 In the present case, a discovery and status hearing is set for August 27, 2018. The Court  
27 will deny without prejudice Plaintiff's request for a settlement conference on all three cases, and  
28 will instead discuss at the August 27, 2018, hearing the potential of setting a settlement

1 conference in this case.

2 Defendants are directed to be prepared to discuss at the August 27, 2018, hearing whether  
3 they are willing to participate in a settlement conference in this case. Defendants are also  
4 requested to reach out to counsel for defendants in *Washington v. Gustafson*, Case No. 2:14-cv-  
5 00628-TLN-DB, and *Washington v. Rouch*, Case No. 1:15-cv-00725-DAD-BAM, to determine  
6 the willingness of defendants in those cases to participate in a global settlement conference, and  
7 to be prepared to discuss the same at the August 27, 2018, hearing.

8 Accordingly,

- 9 1. Plaintiff's request that a settlement conference be set (ECF No. 37) for this case and  
10 two other cases he has pending in the Eastern District of California (*Washington v.*  
11 *Gustafson*, Case No. 2:14-cv-00628-TLN-DB; and *Washington v. Rouch*, Case No.  
12 1:15-cv-00725-DAD-BAM) is DENIED without prejudice.
- 13 2. Defendants are directed to be prepared to discuss at the August 27, 2018, hearing  
14 whether they are willing to participate in a settlement conference.
- 15 3. Defendants are requested to reach out to counsel for defendants in *Washington v.*  
16 *Gustafson*, Case No. 2:14-cv-00628-TLN-DB, and *Washington v. Rouch*, Case No.  
17 1:15-cv-00725-DAD-BAM, to determine the willingness of defendants in those cases  
18 to participate in a global settlement conference, and to be prepared to discuss the same  
19 at the August 27, 2018, hearing.

20  
21 IT IS SO ORDERED.

22 Dated: August 16, 2018

23 /s/ Eric P. Gray  
24 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE  
25  
26  
27  
28