UNITED STAT	TES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DIST	TRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SANDRA HUIZAR,	Case No. 1:17-cv-00322-LJO-EPG
Plaintiff, v.	ORDER DIRECTING THE CLERK OF THE COURT TO TERMINATE DEFENDANT BANK OF AMERICA, N.A.
WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A., et al.,	(ECF No. 56)
Defendants.	
stipulation voluntary dismissal of their claim No. 56). Thus, the claims against Defendant Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a)(1)(A)(ii); Wilson v. Cirdismissed with prejudice. Concha v. Londor defendant has filed a motion to dismiss, the a notice of dismissal under Rule 41(a)(1).").	nd Defendant Bank of America, N.A. filed a ms against Defendant Bank of America, N.A. (ECF Bank of America, N.A. have been terminated, see ty of San Jose, 111 F.3d 688, 692 (9th Cir. 1997), and n, 62 F.3d 1493, 1506 (9th Cir. 1995) ("Even if the plaintiff may terminate his action voluntarily by filing is DIRECTED to terminate Defendant Bank of
IT IS SO ORDERED.  Dated: September 26, 2017	Island P. Brosge UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
	SANDRA HUIZAR,  Plaintiff,  v.  WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A., et al.,  Defendants.  On September 26, 2017, Plaintiffs at stipulation voluntary dismissal of their claim. No. 56). Thus, the claims against Defendant Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a)(1)(A)(ii); Wilson v. Ci dismissed with prejudice. Concha v. London defendant has filed a motion to dismiss, the a notice of dismissal under Rule 41(a)(1).").  Accordingly, the Clerk of the Court America, N.A. on the docket.  IT IS SO ORDERED.