1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 EFREN DANIELLE BULLARD, Case No. 1: 17-cv-0328 LJO JDP 11 Plaintiff, ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND 12 RECOMMENDATIONS AND DENYING DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY v. 13 JUDGMENT R. ST. ANDRA, et al., 14 (Doc. No. 43) Defendant. 15 16 17 Plaintiff Efren Danielle Bullard is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a 18 19 United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302. 20 On February 16, 2018, Defendants moved for summary judgment under Federal Rule of Civil 21 Procedure 56, arguing that Plaintiff failed to exhaust available administrative remedies. (Doc. No. 22 23.) Plaintiff filed an opposition on April 9, 2018 (Doc. No. 33), and Defendants filed a reply on 23 April 17, 2018 (Doc No. 35.) On August 17, 2018, the assigned Magistrate Judge recommended that 24 Defendants' motion be denied, in its entirety. The Magistrate Judge further recommended that the 25 protective order staying all discovery (Doc. No. 29) be vacated and that Defendants' motion (Doc. 26 No. 41) to vacate the present discovery and scheduling order (Doc. No. 22) be granted. The findings 27 and recommendations was served on the parties and contained notice that any objections to the 28 findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen (14) days. Defendants timely

1 filed objections on August 28, 2018. (Doc. No. 45.) 2 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), this Court has conducted a 3 de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the Court finds the 4 findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by proper analysis. The 5 objections do not provide a basis upon which to reject the findings and recommendations. 6 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 7 1. The findings and recommendations issued on August 17, 2018, are adopted in full; 2. 8 Defendants' motion to dismiss, filed on February 16, 2018, is denied; 9 3. Defendants shall file an answer within fourteen (14) days of this order pursuant to 10 Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(a)(4)(A); 11 4. Plaintiff's request (Doc. No. 33) to vacate the protective order staying discovery 12 (Doc. No. 29) is granted; and 5. 13 Defendants' motion (Doc. No. 41) to vacate the present discovery and scheduling 14 order (Doc. No. 22) is granted. 15 IT IS SO ORDERED. 16 August 29, 2018 /s/ Lawrence J. O'Neill Dated: 17 UNITED STATES CHIEF DISTRICT JUDGE 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28