1		
2		
3		
<u>4</u>		
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
6	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
7		
8		
9	JEFFERY CURTIS AULT,	1:17 -cv-00334-MJS (HC)
10	Petitioner,	ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL
11	V.	
12	M. E. SPEARMAN,	(Document # 2)
13	Respondent.	
14		
15	Petitioner has requested the appointment of counsel. There currently exists no absolute right to appointment of counsel in habeas proceedings. See, e.g.,	
16		
17	<u>Anderson v. Heinze</u> , 258 F.2d 479, 481 (9th Cir. 1958); <u>Mitchell v. Wyrick</u> , 727 F.2d	
18	773, 774 (8th Cir. 1984). However, Title 18 U.S.C. § 3006A(a)(2)(B) authorizes the appointment of counsel at any stage of the case if "the interests of justice so require." See Rule 8(c), Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases. In the present case, the Court does not find that the interests of justice require the appointment of	
19		
20		
21		
22	counsel at the present time. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that	
23	Petitioner's request for appointment of counsel is DENIED without prejudice.	
24	·	, ,
25	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
26	Dated: March 17 2017	101 M. P. P. P.
27	Dated: <u>March 17, 2017</u>	<u>ISI Michael J. Seng</u> UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
28		