1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	EDWARD ROY WELCH,	1:17-cv-00337-MJS (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	
13	V.	ORDER TRANSFERRING CASE TO THE SACRAMENTO DIVISION OF THE
14	BUTTE COUNTY JAIL, et al.,	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
15	Defendant.	
16		
17	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed a civil rights action pursuar	
18	to 42 U.S.C. § 1983, together with a request to proceed in forma pauperis pursuant to 28	
19	U.S.C. § 1915.	
20	In his complaint, plaintiff alleges violations of his civil rights by defendants. The	
21	alleged violations took place in Butte County, which is part of the Sacramento Division of	
22	the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California. Therefore, the	
23	complaint should have been filed in the Sacramento Division.	
24	Pursuant to Local Rule 120(f), a civil action which has not been commenced in	
25	the proper court may, on the court's own motion, be transferred to the proper court	
26	Therefore, this action will be transferred to the Sacramento Division. This court will no	
27	rule on plaintiff's request to proceed in forma pauperis.	
28	Good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:	

1	1. This action is transferred to the United States District Court for the Eastern	
2	District of California sitting in Sacramento; and	
3	2. All future filings shall refer to the new Sacramento case number assigned and	
4	shall be filed at:	
5	United States District Court Eastern District of California	
6	501 "I" Street, Suite 4-200 Sacramento, CA 95814	
7	3. This court has not ruled on plaintiff's request to proceed in forma pauperis.	
8	от типо общению постольной отгранить от одиности регологии разгранить на подаганий и постаний разграний и пост	
9	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
10	Dated: March 9, 2017 Isl Michael J. Seng	
11	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17 18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		