1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	MICHAEL MAURICE COTTRELL,	1:17-cv-00348-MJS (PC)	
12	Plaintiff,		
13	v.	ORDER TRANSFERRING CASE TO THE SACRAMENTO DIVISION OF THE	
14	M.E. SPEARMAN, et al.,	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
15	Defendant.		
16			
17	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed a civil rights action pursuant to 42		
18	U.S.C. § 1983, together with a request to proceed in forma pauperis pursuant to 28 U.S.C.		
19	In his complaint, plaintiff alleges violations of his civil rights by defendants. The alleged violations took place in Lassen County, which is part of the Sacramento Division of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California. Therefore, the complaint should have been filed in the Sacramento Division. Pursuant to Local Rule 120(f), a civil action which has not been commenced in the proper court may, on the court's own motion, be transferred to the proper court. Therefore, this action		
20			
21			
22			
2324			
25			
26			
27	will be transferred to the Sacramento Division. This court will not rule on plaintiff's request to		
28	proceed in forma pauperis.		
	Good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY QRDERED that:		

1	1. This action is transferred to the United S	tates District Court for the Eastern District of
2	California sitting in Sacramento; and	
3	2. All future filings shall refer to the new S	acramento case number assigned and shall be
4	filed at:	
5	United States District Eastern District of Ca	
6	501 "I" Street, Suite 4-200 Sacramento, CA 95814	
7	3. This court has not ruled on plaintiff's request to proceed in forma pauperis.	
8		
9	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
10	Dated: <u>April 1, 2017</u>	1st Michael J. Seng
11	_	NITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19 20		
20		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		