1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 JUSTIN GARCIA. 11 Case No.: 1:17-cv-00374 LJO JLT 12 Plaintiff, ORDER TO PLAINTIFF TO SHOW CAUSE WHY SANCTIONS SHOULD NOT BE IMPOSED FOR 13 v. FAILURE TO PROSECUTE THE ACTION AND TO COMPLY WITH THE COURT'S ORDERS; MUSHEER A. KAKISH, et al., 14 ORDER CONTINUING SCHEDULING 15 Defendants. **CONFERENCE** 16 17 On March 14, 201, the plaintiff filed the instant action. (Doc. 1) On the same day, the Court 18 issued the summonses (Doc. 4) and its order setting the mandatory scheduling conference to occur on 19 May 15, 2016. (Doc. 5) In its order setting the mandatory scheduling conference, the Court advised 20 counsel: 21 The Court is unable to conduct a scheduling conference until defendants have been served with the summons and complaint. Accordingly, plaintiff(s) shall diligently pursue service of summons and complaint and dismiss those defendants against whom 22 plaintiff(s) will not pursue claims. Plaintiff(s) shall promptly file proofs of service of 23 the summons and complaint so the Court has a record of service. Counsel are referred to F.R.Civ.P., Rule 4 regarding the requirement of timely service of the complaint. Failure to timely serve summons and complaint may result in the imposition of 24 sanctions, including the dismissal of unserved defendants. 25 26 (Doc. 5 at 1-2, emphasis added) Nevertheless, Plaintiff has not filed a proof of service of the 27 summons and complaint as to Musheer Kakish or Keith Church. Therefore, the Court **ORDERS**, 28 1. No later than May 19, 2017, the plaintiff SHALL show cause why sanctions should not

1	be imposed for the failure to serve and file proof of service on Musheer Kakish and Keith Church.
2	Alternatively, the plaintiff may file proof of service of on these defendants.
3	2. Due the failure of the plaintiff to serve the summonses and complaint, the scheduling
4	conference, currently set on May 15, 2017 is CONTINUED to July 6, 2017 at 8:30 a.m.
5	<u>Plaintiff is reminded of the service obligations under Fed. R. Civ. P. 4. Failure to comply</u>
6	may result in the imposition of sanctions, including the dismissal of unserved defendants.
7	
8	IT IS SO ORDERED.
9	Dated: May 9, 2017 /s/ Jennifer L. Thurston
10	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
^ _ '	

²⁸ Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(m) requires the Court sua sponte to dismiss defendants who have not been served **within 90 days** of the filing of the complaint unless good cause is shown.