

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

CHRISTOPHER RENFRO; and SIMA
EDSELL,

Plaintiffs,

v.

J.G. BOSWELL CO., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Case No. 1:17-cv-00418-LJO-EPG

**ORDER GRANTING IN FORMA
PAUPERIS APPLICATIONS**

(ECF Nos. 2, 3)

Plaintiffs Christopher Renfro and Sima Edsell filed a complaint on March 22, 2017 and applications to proceed *in forma pauperis* on that same day. (ECF Nos. 2, 3.) Plaintiffs have made the required showing pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a). Accordingly, the requests to proceed *in forma pauperis* are GRANTED.

As to the status of the complaint, Plaintiffs are advised that pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2), the Court must conduct an initial review of every *pro se* complaint to determine whether it is legally sufficient under the applicable pleading standards. The Court must dismiss a complaint, or portion thereof, if the court determines that the complaint is legally frivolous or malicious, fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted, or seeks monetary relief from a defendant who is immune from such relief. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2). If the court determines that the complaint fails to state a claim, leave to amend may be granted to the extent that the

1 deficiencies in the complaint can be cured by amendment. Plaintiffs' complaint will be screened
2 in due course.

3
4 IT IS SO ORDERED.

5 Dated: May 1, 2017

/s/ Eric P. Gray
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28