1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	KERNEL MILITY,	) Case No.: 1:17-cv-00446 DAD JLT
12	Plaintiff,	<ul> <li>ORDER GRANTING REQUEST FOR AN</li> <li>EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE RESPONSIVE</li> </ul>
13	v.	) PLEADING
14	COUNTY OF KERN, et al.,	) (Doc. 12)
15	Defendants.	)
16		_)
17	On May 22, 2017, defendant Phil Taylor filed an unnoticed motion <sup>1</sup> for an extension of time to	
18	file his responsive pleading. (Doc. 12) He cites the unavailability of counsel for the need for the	
19 20	extension. <u>Id</u> . Plaintiff has graciously agreed to the extension of time. (Doc. 15) Thus, the Court <b>ORDERS</b> :	
20		
21	1. The request for an extension of time to file the responsive pleading is <b>GRANTED</b> . No later than June 6, 2017, Mr. Taylor <b>SHALL</b> file his responsive pleading.	
22	than Jule 0, 2017, WI. Taylor SHALL The his	s responsive preading.
24	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
25		lal Ionnifor I Thurston
26	Dated: <u>May 25, 2017</u>	<u>/s/ Jennifer L. Thurston</u> UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
27		
28		
	<sup>1</sup> In future, counsel SHALL seek a stipulation before filing a request of this type.	