1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
8		
9	RACHEL BRYANT,	Case No. 1:17-cv-00458-LJO-EPG
10	Plaintiff	ORDER DIRECTING THE CLERK OF
11	v.	THE COURT TO CLOSE THE CASE
12	SUPERCUTS CORPORATE SHOPS,	(ECF No. 22)
13	INC., et al.,  Defendants.	
14	Defendants.	
15	On September 26, 2017, Plaintiff Rachel Bryant filed a notice of dismissal dismissing his	
16	case with prejudice. (ECF No. 22.) No defendant has filed an answer or motion for summary	
17	judgment. In light of Plaintiff's notice, this action has been terminated, <i>see</i> Fed. R. Civ. P.	
18	41(a)(1)(A)(i); <i>Wilson v. City of San Jose</i> , 111 F.3d 688, 692 (9th Cir. 1997), and has been	
19	dismissed with prejudice. Concha v. London, 62 F.3d 1493, 1506 (9th Cir. 1995) ("Even if the	
20	defendant has filed a motion to dismiss, the plaintiff may terminate his action voluntarily by filing a notice of dismissal under Rule $41(a)(1)$ .").	
21		
22	Accordingly, the Clerk of the Court is DIRECTED to close this case.	
23		
24	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
25	Dated: <b>October 2, 2017</b>	18/ Encir P. Grosp
26		UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
27		
28		