

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ELIZABETH ANNE ANGLIN,

Plaintiff,

v.

ANDREW SAUL, Commissioner of Social
Security,

Defendant.

No. 1:17-cv-00461-EPG

ORDER FOR PLAINTIFF’S COUNSEL TO
SHOW CAUSE WHY PLAINTIFF’S
COUNSEL SHOULD NOT BE SANCTIONED
FOR FAILING TO COMPLY WITH COURT
ORDER

TWENTY-ONE DAY DEADLINE

On September 30, 2020, the Court granted Plaintiff’s counsel, Cyus Safa of the Law Offices of Lawrence D. Rohlfing (“Safa”), \$2,400 of attorneys’ fees pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 406(b). (ECF No. 25). In its order, the Court noted that Safa did not properly redact Plaintiff’s Social Security number in his motion for attorney’s fees. (*Id.* at 4-5). The Court sealed those filings and ordered: “Within seven days of the date of this Order, Plaintiff shall re-file such sealed documents, and such filings shall comply with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 5.2(a) and Local Rule 140(a).” (*Id.* at 5).

More than seven days have passed since the Court entered that order, and neither Plaintiff nor Safa has refiled those documents with proper redactions.

///
///
///

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Accordingly, it is HEREBY ORDERED that within twenty-one (21) days from the date of this Order, Safa shall show cause why Safa should not be sanctioned for failing to comply with the Court's Order to re-file the sealed documents.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: October 14, 2020

/s/ Eric P. Gray
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE