2		
3		
4	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
5	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
6		
7 Al	NTHONY CEASAR HERNANDEZ,	Case No.: 1:17-cv-00468-LJO-BAM (PC)
8	Plaintiff,	ORDER STRIKING PLAINTIFF'S RESPONSE TO DEFENDANTS' REPLY TO PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO STAY
9	v.	
10 BA	ALLAM, et al.,	
11	Defendants.	[Doc No. 61]
12 -		
13	Plaintiff Anthony Ceasar Hernandez is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma	
14 <i>pau</i>	pauperis in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On July 9, 2018, the Court	
15 stay	stayed this matter pending the resolution of Plaintiff's criminal case concerning the same events	
16 at is	at issue in this case. (Doc. No. 56.)	
17	On August 14, 2018, Plaintiff filed a response to Defendants' reply in support of their	
18 mot	motion to stay. (Doc. No. 61.) The Court construes this filing as a surreply.	
19	No further briefing on Defendant's motion to stay is permitted absent leave of court. The	
20 Cou	Court did not grant Plaintiff leave to file a surreply, and the Court does not desire any further	
21 brie	briefing on the motion. The motion has been ruled upon, as noted above.	
22	Accordingly, Plaintiff's surreply (Doc. No. 61) is HEREBY STRICKEN from the record.	
23		
24 IT I	IS SO ORDERED.	
25	Dated: August 15, 2018	/s/Barbara A. McAuliffe
26		UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
27		
28		