

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

COURTNEY ERIN HARRISON  
CAMPBELL,

Plaintiff,

v.

COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL SECURITY.

Defendant.

Case No. 1:17-cv-00500-SAB

ORDER REQUIRING PLAINTIFF TO  
SHOW CAUSE WHY THIS ACTION  
SHOULD NOT BE DISMISSED FOR  
FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH COURT  
ORDER

Response due: May 19, 2017

Plaintiff Courtney Erin Harrison Campbell (“Plaintiff”) filed the complaint in this action in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California, Sacramento Division, on April 5, 2017. (ECF No. 1.) Plaintiff did not pay the filing fee in this action and instead filed an application to proceed in forma pauperis pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915 on April 5, 2017. (ECF No. 2.) On April 11, 2017, the Court ordered Plaintiff to either pay the \$400 filing fee for this action or complete and file an Application to Proceed in District Court Without Prepaying Fees or Costs (Long Form) – AO 239 within twenty days. (ECF No. 4.) On May 1, 2017, Plaintiff filed a motion to extend the time to file an amended application to proceed in forma pauperis to May 8, 2017, which the Court granted. (ECF Nos. 5, 6.) To date, Plaintiff has not paid the \$400 filing fee or filed an amended application to proceed in forma pauperis.

Local Rule 110 provides that “[f]ailure of counsel or of a party to comply with these Rules or with any order of the Court may be grounds for imposition by the Court of any and all

1 sanctions . . . within the inherent power of the Court.” The Court has the inherent power to  
2 control its docket and may, in the exercise of that power, impose sanctions where appropriate,  
3 including dismissal of the action. Bautista v. Los Angeles County, 216 F.3d 837, 841 (9th Cir.  
4 2000).

5 Accordingly, Plaintiff is HEREBY ORDERED to SHOW CAUSE why this action should  
6 not be dismissed for Plaintiff’s failure to comply with the Court’s April 11, 2017 and May 1,  
7 2017 orders. Plaintiff shall file a written response to this order to show cause no later than **May**  
8 **19, 2017**. Plaintiff is forewarned that failure to respond to this order to show cause will result in  
9 the dismissal of this action.

10  
11 IT IS SO ORDERED.

12 Dated: May 11, 2017

  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28