1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
8		
9	RACHEL BRYANT,	Case No. 1:17-cv-00503-LJO-EPG
10	Plaintiff	ORDER DIRECTING THE CLERK OF THE COURT TO CLOSE THE CASE
11	v.	
12	ROSALINDA GARCIA, et al.,	(ECF No. 10)
13	Defendants.	
14		
15	On August 4, 2017, Plaintiff Rachel Bryant filed a notice of dismissal dismissing his case	
16	with prejudice. (ECF No. 10.) No defendant has filed an answer or motion for summary	
17	judgment. In light of Plaintiff's notice, this action has been terminated, see Fed. R. Civ. P.	
18	41(a)(1)(A)(i); Wilson v. City of San Jose, 111 F.3d 688, 692 (9th Cir. 1997), and has been	
19	dismissed with prejudice. Concha v. London, 62 F.3d 1493, 1506 (9th Cir. 1995) ("Even if the	
20	defendant has filed a motion to dismiss, the plaintiff may terminate his action voluntarily by filing	
21	a notice of dismissal under Rule 41(a)(1).").	
22	Accordingly, the Clerk of the Court is DIRECTED to close this case.	
23		
24	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
25	Dated: <b>August 7, 2017</b>	18/ Encir P. Grosp
26		UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
27		
28		
		1