1			
2	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
3	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
4			
5	JAMES JACK, JR.,	CASE NO. 1:17-CV-0520 AWI SAB	
6	Plaintiff	ORDER CORRECTING DOC. NO. 61 <i>NUNC PRO TUNC</i>	
7	v.		
8	STANISLAUS COUNTY DEPUTY SHERIFF ERIC PEARSON,	(Doc. No. 61)	
9	Defendant	(Doc. 110. 01)	
10			
11	On January 14, 2020, the Court's order on Defendant's motion for summary judgment was		
12	docketed. See Doc. No. 61. However, it has come to the Court's attention that there are		
13	typographical errors with respect to the title this order. Specifically, the title of order appears as		
14	"Order On Defendants' Third Motion To Dismiss" and then references "(Doc. No. 33)". See id.		
15	However, the body of the order addresses and resolves Defendant's summary judgment motion.		
16	See id. In order to avoid possible confusion, the Court will issue this order to correct Doc. No. 61		
17	nunc pro tunc to reflect that it is the "Order On Defendant's Motion For Summary Judgment,"		
18	which is Doc. No. 53 in the record.		
19	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:		
20	1. Document No. 61 on Page 1 at the title is CORRECTED <i>NUNC PRO TUNC</i> to now		
21	read: "Order On Defendant's Motion For Summary Judgment"; and		
22	2. Document No. 61 on Page 1 in the title, where "(Doc. No. 33)" is identified, is		
23	CORRECTED NUNC PRO TUNC to read "(Doc. No. 53)."		
24			
25	IT IS SO ORDERED.	SENIOR DISTRICT JUDGE	
26	Dated: January 15, 2020		
27			
28			