

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DAVID JESSEN AND GRETCHEN
JESSEN,

Plaintiff,

vs.

THE COUNTY OF FRESNO, CITY OF
CLOVIS and DOES 1 to 100, Inclusive,

Defendants.

) Case No.: 1:17-CV-00524-DAD-EPG
)
) **ORDER GRANTING APPLICATION**
) **FOR ORDER SHORTENING TIME AND**
) **EX PARTE MOTION AND MOTION FOR**
) **LEAVE TO MODIFY THE RULE 16(b)**
) **SCHEDULING ORDER FOR THE**
) **INITIAL EXPERT WITNESS**
) **DISCLOSURE FROM JUNE 1, 2018 TO**
) **JUNE 11, 2018**
)
) (ECF No. 35)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)

On June 1, 2018, Plaintiffs’ moved ex parte for an order shortening time and for an order modifying the Court’s Rule 16(b) Scheduling Order to extend the deadline for initial expert disclosure from June 1, 2018, to June 11, 2018 (ECF No. 35). Richard A. Belardinelli, attorney for Plaintiffs, has represented that Defendants, County of Fresno and City of Clovis, do not oppose Plaintiffs’ motion to extend the initial expert witness disclosure deadline.

After considering Plaintiffs’ Application and Certificate in support of the application to shorten time, and the moving papers in support of Plaintiffs’ Motion for Leave to Modify the

1 Rule 16(b) Scheduling Order for the Initial Expert Witness Disclosure from June 1, 2018, to June
2 11, 2018, the Court finds good cause for granting the motions.

3 IT IS ORDERED:

- 4 1. Plaintiffs Application for Order Shortening Time (ECF No. 35) is Granted;
5 2. Plaintiffs Motion for Leave to Modify the Rule 16(b) Scheduling Order for the
6 Initial Expert Witness Disclosure from June 1, 2018, to June 11, 2018 (ECF No. 35) is Granted;
7 3. All other terms and conditions of the Scheduling Order (ECF No. 20) remain in
8 full force and effect.
9

10 IT IS SO ORDERED.

11 Dated: June 1, 2018

/s/ Eric P. Grogan
12 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28