

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

PABLO ORTEGA,  
  
Plaintiff,  
v.  
JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, a business  
entity, *et al.*,  
  
Defendants.

**Case No. 1: 17-CV-00531-DAD-EPG**  
  
**ORDER DIRECTING THE CLERK OF  
THE COURT TO CLOSE CASE**  
  
(ECF No. 13)

On September 15, 2017, Plaintiff filed a notice voluntarily dismissing this action. (ECF No. 13.) Thus, the case has ended and is dismissed with prejudice. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a)(1)(A)(ii); *Wilson v. City of San Jose*, 111 F.3d 688, 692 (9th Cir. 1997); *Concha v. London*, 62 F.3d 1493, 1506 (9th Cir. 1995) (“Even if the defendant has filed a motion to dismiss, the plaintiff may terminate his action voluntarily by filing a notice of dismissal under Rule 41(a)(1).”). Accordingly, the Clerk of the Court is DIRECTED to close this case.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: September 19, 2017

/s/ Eric P. Gray  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE