1			
2			
3			
4	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
5	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
6			
7	DONALD LEE WILLIAMS,	Case No. 1:17-cv-00549-AWI-EPG (PC)	
8	Plaintiff,	SCHEDULING CONFERENCE ORDER	
9		Initial Disclosures:	Completed
10 11		Nonexpert Discovery Cutoff:	February 28, 2019
11		Expert Disclosure:	March 29, 2019
13		Rebuttal Expert Disclosure:	April 26, 2019
14 15	V.	Expert Discovery Cutoff:	May 24, 2019
16 17		Exhaustion Motion Filing Deadline:	November 16, 2018
17 18		Dispositive Motion Filing Deadline:	June 28, 2019
19		Settlement Conf.:	Not Set
20 21		Status Conf:	Date: January 28, 2019 Time:1:30 p.m. Dept:10
22 23	A. TERRAZAS, et al., Defendants.	Pretrial Conf.:	Date: October 30, 2019 Time:10:00 a.m. Dept: 2
24		Jury Trial:	Date: January 7, 2020
25			Time:8:30 a.m. Dept: 2
26		]	
27			
28			
		1	

This Court conducted a scheduling conference on July 11, 2018. Plaintiff and his counsel,
 Benjamin Meir Rudin, appeared telephonically. Counsel Laraya Parnell and Jon Allin appeared
 telephonically on behalf of Defendants. Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(b), this Court sets a schedule
 for this action.

5

I.

#### <u>Consent To Magistrate Judge</u>

The parties have not consented to Magistrate Judge jurisdiction. Out of fairness, the Court 6 7 believes it is necessary to forewarn litigants that the Fresno Division of the Eastern District of 8 California now has the heaviest District Court Judge caseload in the entire nation. While the Court 9 will use its best efforts to resolve this case and all other civil cases in a timely manner, the parties 10 are advised that not all of the parties' needs and expectations may be met as expeditiously as 11 desired. As multiple trials are now being set to begin upon the same date, parties may find their 12 case trailing with little notice before the trial begins. The law requires that the Court give any 13 criminal trial priority over civil trials or any other matter. The Court must proceed with a criminal 14 trial even if a civil trial was filed earlier and set for trial first. Continuances of any civil trial under 15 these circumstances will no longer be entertained, absent a specific and stated finding of good 16 cause. All parties should be informed that any civil trial set to begin during the time a criminal trial 17 is proceeding will trail the completion of the criminal trial.

18 The parties are reminded of the availability of United States Magistrate Judge Erica P. 19 Grosjean to conduct all proceedings in this action. A United States Magistrate Judge is available 20 to conduct trials, including entry of final judgment, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c), Federal Rule 21 of Civil Procedure 73, and Local Rule 305. The same jury pool is used by both United States 22 Magistrate Judges and United States District Court Judges. Any appeal from a judgment entered 23 by a United States Magistrate Judge is taken directly to the United States Court of Appeal for the 24 Ninth Circuit. However, the parties are hereby informed that no substantive rulings or decisions 25 will be affected by whether a party chooses to consent.

Finally, the Fresno Division of the Eastern District of California, whenever possible, is utilizing United States Article III District Court Judges from throughout the nation as Visiting Judges. Pursuant to the Local Rules, Appendix A, reassignments will be random, and the parties will receive no advance notice before their case is reassigned to an Article III District Court Judge
 from outside of the Eastern District of California. Therefore, the parties are directed to consider
 consenting to Magistrate Judge jurisdiction to conduct all further proceedings, including trial.

4 II. <u>Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1)</u>

5

6

Initial disclosures have been exchanged.

## III. <u>Discovery Cutoffs And Limits</u>

7 All non-expert discovery shall be completed no later than **February 28, 2019.** Initial expert 8 witness disclosures shall be served no later than March 29, 2019. Rebuttal expert witness 9 disclosures shall be served no later than April 26, 2019. Such disclosures must be made pursuant 10 to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2)(A), (B) and (C), and shall include all information required 11 thereunder. In addition, Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(4) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(e) specifically apply to 12 discovery relating to expert witnesses and their opinions. Each expert witness must be fully 13 prepared to be examined on all subjects and opinions included in the disclosures. Failure to comply 14 with these requirements will result in the imposition of appropriate sanctions, including the 15 preclusion of the expert's testimony, or of other evidence offered through the expert.

All expert discovery shall be completed no later than May 24, 2019. The parties are advised
that motions to compel must be filed in advance of the discovery deadlines so that the Court may
grant effective relief within the allotted discovery time. A party's failure to have a discovery
dispute heard sufficiently in advance of the discovery cutoff may result in denial of the motion as
untimely.

A Telephonic Status Conference has been set for **January 28, 2019, at 1:30 p.m.**, before Magistrate Judge Erica P. Grosjean. The parties are directed to file a joint report, of up to five (5) pages, outlining the status of the case, any additional discovery still planned, potential for settlement, and any other issues pending that would benefit from the Court's assistance/direction. The parties shall file the report one full week prior to the conference, and email a copy, in Word format, to epgorders@caed.uscourts.gov. To appear telephonically, each party shall dial 1 (888) 251-2909 and enter access code 1024453.

28 ///

1

IV.

*A*.

#### **Pretrial Motion Schedule**

2

### General Information Regarding Filing Motions

The parties are advised that unless prior leave of the Court is obtained before the filing deadline,<sup>1</sup> *all* moving and opposition briefs or legal memoranda, including joint statements of discovery disputes, filed in civil cases before Magistrate Judge Grosjean, shall not exceed twentyfive (25) pages. Reply briefs by the moving party shall not exceed ten (10) pages. These page limits do not include exhibits. When scheduling motions (other than discovery motions) the parties shall comply with Local Rule 230.

Counsel or *pro se* parties may appear and argue motions by telephone, provided a request
to so do is made to Michelle Rooney, Magistrate Judge Grosjean's Courtroom Deputy (unless prior
permission has been given by the judge), no later than five (5) court days before the noticed hearing
date. Requests can be made by emailing Ms. Rooney at mrooney@caed.uscourts.gov. If the parties
are appearing telephonically, each party shall dial 1 (888) 251-2909 and enter access code 1024453.

14

#### 1. Informal Discovery Conference

In order to file a discovery motion pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 37, a party must receive permission from the Court following an informal telephone conference. A party wishing to schedule such a conference should contact chambers to receive available dates. The Court will schedule the conference as soon as possible, taking into consideration the urgency of the issue. Before contacting the Court, the parties must meet and confer by speaking with each other in person, over the telephone, or via video in an attempt to resolve the dispute.

Prior to the conference, both parties shall simultaneously submit letters, outlining their
respective positions regarding the dispute. The Court will provide the date the letters are due at the
time the conference is scheduled. Such letters shall be no longer than three (3) pages single spaced,
and may include up to five (5) pages of exhibits. Letters shall be emailed to Magistrate Judge
Grosjean's chambers at epgorders@caed.uscourts.gov, and *not* filed on the docket.

26

At the time of conference, the parties shall dial 1 (888) 251-2909 and enter access code

27 28

<sup>1</sup> Parties may seek leave through a telephonic conference among all parties and the Court, or by short motion.

1024453. Telephonic conferences will not be on the record and the Court will not issue a formal
 ruling at that time. Nevertheless, the Court will attempt to provide guidance to the parties to narrow
 or dispose of the dispute. If no resolution can be reached without formal motion practice, the Court
 will authorize the filing of a formal discovery motion.

5

### 2. Discovery Motions

If a motion is brought pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 37, after receiving permission from the
Court, the parties must prepare and file a Joint Statement re: Discovery Disagreement ("Joint
Statement") as required by Local Rule 251.<sup>2</sup> In scheduling such motions, Magistrate Judge
Grosjean may grant applications for an order shortening time pursuant to Local Rule 144(e).
Motions to shorten time will *only* be granted upon a showing of good cause. If a party does not
obtain an order shortening time, the notice of motion must comply with Local Rule 251.

12 A Joint Statement, not to exceed twenty-five (25) pages, must be filed seven (7) calendar 13 days before the scheduled hearing date. Prior to the filing of the Joint Statement, the parties must 14 meet and confer as set forth in Local Rule 251(b). In addition to filing the Joint Statement 15 electronically, a copy of the Joint Statement in Word format must be sent to Magistrate Judge 16 Grosjean's chambers via email to epgorders@caed.uscourts.gov. Courtesy copies for any pleading 17 in excess of twenty-five pages (25) (including exhibits) shall also be delivered to chambers via US 18 mail, or hand delivery, at the time the Joint Statement is electronically filed. Motions may be 19 removed from the Court's calendar if the Joint Statement is not timely filed, or if courtesy copies 20 are not timely delivered.

21

## **B.** Exhaustion and Dispositive Motions

The deadline for Defendant(s) to present any challenge for failure to exhaust administrative remedies is **November 16, 2018**. The exhaustion issue may be raised only by filing a motion for summary judgment under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 56. Failure to raise the exhaustion issue by this deadline will result in waiver of the defense. *See Albino v. Baca*, 747 F.3d 1162, 1170 (9th Cir. 2014) (providing that the exhaustion question should be decided as early as feasible).

27 28

<sup>2</sup> Certain limited exceptions from filing the required Joint Statement are outlined in Local Rule 251(e).

All other dispositive pre-trial motions shall be served and filed no later than **June 28**, **2019**.

All dispositive motions will be heard by District Court Judge Anthony W. Ishii. In
 scheduling such motions, the parties shall comply with Fed. R. Civ. P. 56 and Local Rules 230 and
 260.

4

#### Motions for Summary Judgment or Summary Adjudication

5 Prior to filing a motion for summary judgment or motion for summary adjudication, the 6 parties are ordered to meet and confer, in person or by telephone, to discuss the issues to be raised 7 in the motion. In addition to complying with the requirements of Local Rule 260, the parties 8 must prepare a Joint Statement of Undisputed Facts, which identifies all relevant facts subject 9 to agreement by all parties. The moving party is responsible for filing the joint statement. In the 10 notice of motion, the moving party shall certify that the parties have met and conferred as ordered 11 above, or set forth a statement of good cause for the failure to do so.

12

13

V.

## **Settlement Conference**

1.

A settlement conference has not been scheduled at this time.

14 VI. <u>Pretrial Conference</u>

The pretrial conference is set for **October 30, 2019, at 10:00 a.m.** in Courtroom 2, before District Court Judge Anthony W. Ishii. The parties are directed to file a joint pretrial statement that complies with the requirements of this Court's Local Rule 281. In addition, the joint pretrial statement should include a brief factual summary and an agreed upon neutral statement of the case. An additional copy of the joint pretrial statement, carefully prepared and executed by all counsel, shall be electronically filed in CM/ECF and shall be e-mailed in Word format to Judge Ishii's chambers at awiorders@caed.uscourts.gov.

The parties' attention is directed to this Court's Local Rules 281 and 282. This Court will insist upon strict compliance with these rules. At the pretrial conference, the Court will set deadlines to file motions *in limine*, final witness lists, exhibits, jury instructions, objections, and other trial documents.

26 VII. <u>Trial Date</u>

A jury trial is set for January 7, 2020, at 8:30 a.m. in Courtroom 2 before District Court
Judge Anthony W. Ishii. The parties' attention is directed to this Court's Local Rule 285 for the

1

3

4

preparation of trial briefs.

## 2 VIII. <u>Related Matters Pending</u>

There are no related matters pending before this Court.

## IX. <u>Compliance with Federal Procedures</u>

All counsel are expected to familiarize themselves with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure
and the Local Rules of the Eastern District of California and to keep abreast of any amendments
thereto. The Court requires strict compliance with these rules. Sanctions will be imposed for failure
to follow the rules as provided in both the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Local Rules of
the Eastern District of California.

10

# X. <u>Effect Of This Order</u>

This order represents the Court and the parties' best estimated schedule to complete this
case. Any party unable to comply with the dates outlined in this order shall immediately file an
appropriate motion or stipulation identifying the requested modification(s).

14The dates set in this Order are considered to be firm and will not be modified absent a15showing of good cause, even if a stipulation to modify is filed. Stipulations extending the16deadlines contained herein will not be considered unless they are accompanied by affidavits or17declarations with attached exhibits, where appropriate, that establish good cause for granting the18requested relief. Due to the impacted nature of the civil case docket, this Court disfavors requests19to modify established dates.

Failure to comply with this order shall result in the imposition of sanctions.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: July 16, 2018

23 24

20

21

22

25

26

27

28

Istéria P. Gron

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE