UNITED STAT	TES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DIST	TRICT OF CALIFORNIA
FELIPE MENDEZ, JR.,	1:17-cv-00555-LJO-JLT (PC)
Plaintiff,	ORDER REFERRING THE CASE TO POST-SCREENING ADR PROJECT AND
v.	STAYING THE CASE FOR 90 DAYS
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, et al.,	
Defendants.	
When at least one defendant has been	n served, the Court is referring all post-screening,
civil rights cases filed by pro se inmates to the	he Post-Screening Alternative Dispute Resolution
Project to attempt to resolve cases more quic	ckly and less expensively. No defenses or objections
are waived by participation.	
As set forth in the screening order, th	ne Court has found the plaintiff has stated at least one
cognizable civil rights claim. Thus, the Cour	rt STAYS this action for 90 days to allow the parties
to investigate the plaintiff's claims, meet and	d confer and participate in a settlement conference.
There is a presumption that all post-s	screening civil rights cases assigned to the
undersigned will proceed to settlement confe	erence. <sup>1</sup> However, if after investigating plaintiff's
claims and speaking with plaintiff, and after	conferring with defense counsel's supervisor,
<sup>1</sup> If the case does not settle during the stay, C conference.	Court will set a deadline for the responsive pleading at the

1	counsel finds in good faith that a settlement conference would be a waste of resources <sup>2</sup> , defense		
2	counsel may opt out of this pilot project, by filing a notice to this effect.		
3	Notwithstanding the requirements of Local Rule 270(b), the settlement conference will be		
4	conducted by Magistrate Judge Thurston. The Court deems the deviation from the Local Rule to		
5	be appropriate and in the interests of the parties and justice and sound case management based		
6	upon the location of the parties. If any party prefers that the settlement conference be		
7	conducted by a judicial officer who is not assigned to this case, that party is directed to		
8	notify the Court in response to this order of this preference and another judicial officer to be		
9	assigned to handle the conference.		
10	Unless the defendants opt out, within 35 days, the assigned defense attorney SHALL		
11	contact Courtroom Deputy Clerk Susan Hall at (661) 326-6620, to schedule the settlement		
12	conference. If the settlement conference cannot be set quickly due to the court's calendar, the		
13	parties may seek an extension of the initial 90-day stay.		
14	Once the settlement conference is scheduled, at least seven days before the conference, the		
15	parties shall submit to the assigned settlement judge a confidential settlement conference		
16	statement. The parties' confidential settlement conference statement SHALL include:		
17	a. A short statement of the facts and alleged damages;		
18	b. A short procedural history;		
19	c. A frank analysis of the likelihood of liability, including a discussion of the efforts		
20	made to investigate the claims;		
21	d. A discussion of the efforts that have been made to settle the case;		
22	e. To the extent that Doe Defendants are named and their identities can be		
23	ascertained, defense counsel shall indicate the names of the Doe Defendants; and,		
24	b. Defense counsel shall indicate whether he/she knows of the location of the		
25	defendants;		
26	Based upon the foregoing, the Court <b>ORDERS</b> :		
27 28	$^{2}$ By way of guidance, if the defense intends to file an exhaustion motion and believes in good faith that it has a significant chance of success, this would be a likely circumstance where the opt-out provision should be employed. $2$		

1	1. <u>This action is <b>STAYED</b> for 90 days</u> to allow the parties an opportunity to settle		
2	their dispute before a responsive pleading is filed, or the discovery process begins. No other		
3	pleadings or other documents may be filed in this case during the stay. The parties SHALL NOT		
4	engage in formal discovery, but they may jointly agree to engage in informal discovery.		
5	2. <u>Within 30 days from the date of this order</u> , the parties <b>SHALL</b> file the attached		
6	notice, indicating their agreement to proceed to an early settlement conference or whether they		
7	believe settlement is not achievable at this time. In addition, they SHALL indicate whether they		
8	object to the undersigned conducting the settlement conference.		
9	3. Unless the defendants opt out, within 35 days from the date of this order, the		
10	defense attorney SHALL contact this court's Courtroom Deputy Clerk at SHall		
11	@caed.uscourts.gov or (661) 326-6620 to schedule the settlement conference;		
12	4. Each party shall submit a confidential settlement conference statement <u>so it is</u>		
13	received at least seven days before the settlement conference.		
14	The plaintiff SHALL mail his/her confidential settlement conference statement to:		
15	United States Courthouse		
16	510 19th Street, Suite 200 Bakersfield, CA 93301		
17	Counsel SHALL lodge the defendant's confidential settlement conference statement via email to		
18	JLTOrders@caed.uscourts.gov.		
19	If a different judge is conducting the conference, the Clerk of the Court will forward the		
20	unread settlement conference statements to the correct judge;		
21	5. If the parties settle their case during the stay of this action, they <b>SHALL</b> file a		
22	Notice of Settlement as required by Local Rule 160;		
23	6. The Clerk of the Court <b>SHALL</b> serve via email a copy of this order to ADR		
24	Coordinator Sujean Park;		
25	///		
26	///		
27	///		
28	/// 3		
	5		

1	7. <u>The parties are reminded of their obligation to keep the court informed of any</u>	
2	changes of addresses during the stay and while the action is pending. Changes of address must be	
3	reported promptly in a separate document entitled "Notice of Change of Address." See L.R.	
4	182(f).	
5		
6	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
7	Dated: October 24, 2018 /s/ Jennifer L. Thurston	
8	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25 26		
20 27		
27		
20	4	

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	
11	FELIPE MENDEZ, JR.,Case No.: 1:17-cv-0555-LJO-JLT (PC)
12	Plaintiff, NOTICE REGARDING EARLY SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE
13	V.
14	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, et al.,
15	Defendants.
16	
17	As required by the Court's order:
18	1. The party or counsel for the party signing below, agrees that there is a good chance
19	that an early settlement conference will resolve this action and wishes to engage in an early
20	settlement conference.
21	Yes No
22	
23	2. The party or counsel for the party signing below, agrees the assigned Magistrate
24	Judge may conduct the settlement conference.
25	Yes No
26	///
27	///
28	/// 5
	J

1	3.	The plaintiff (Check one):
2		Would like to participate in the settlement conference in person, OR
3		Would like to participate in the settlement conference by video conference.
4		
5	Dated:	
6		
7		Plaintiff or Counsel for Defendants
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		6