

1
2
3
4
5
6 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

7 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

8
9
10 CHRISTOPHER LIPSEY, JR.,) Case No.: 1:17-cv-00569-LJO-BAM (PC)
11 Plaintiff,)
12 vs.) ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND
13 DR. REDDY, et al.,) RECOMMENDATIONS, AND DENYING
14 Defendants.) MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY
15) INJUNCTION
16) (Doc. No. 49, 51)

17 Plaintiff Christopher Lipsey is a state prisoner proceeding *pro se* and *in forma pauperis* in
18 this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This matter was referred to a United States
19 Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.

20 On May 29, 2018, Plaintiff filed a motion for preliminary injunction seeking that the
21 Court direct CDCR to immediately send his property to his new housing location. (Doc. No. 49.)
22 On June 4, 2018, the Magistrate Judge issued findings and recommendations recommending that
23 the motion be denied. (Doc. No. 51.) The Magistrate Judge also granted Plaintiff an extension
24 of time to comply with a pending deadline based on the delay in processing his property. (Doc.
25 No. 50.)

26 The findings and recommendations were served on the parties and contained notice that
27 any objections must be filed within fourteen days after service of that order. (Doc. 51, at 3.)
28 That deadline has passed, and no objections were filed.

1 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), this Court has conducted
2 a *de novo* review of this case and carefully reviewed the entire file. The Court finds that the
3 findings and recommendations are supported by the record and by proper analysis.

4 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 5 1. The findings and recommendations, dated June 4, 2018 (Doc. No. 51), are
6 adopted in full; and
- 7 2. Plaintiff's motion for a preliminary injunction, filed on May 29, 2018 (Doc. No.
8 49), is denied.

9
10 IT IS SO ORDERED.

11 Dated: June 29, 2018

/s/ Lawrence J. O'Neill
UNITED STATES CHIEF DISTRICT JUDGE