1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	AMY J. BURDICK,) Case No.: 1:17-cv-0591-DAD - JLT
12	Plaintiff,	 ORDER TO THE PARTIES TO SHOW CAUSE WHY SANCTIONS SHOULD NOT BE IMPOSED FOR FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH THE COURT'S ORDER
13	v.	
14	KERO TV-23, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	On November 16, 2017, Plaintiff Amy Burdick and Scripps Media Inc., erroneously sued as	
18	KERO TV-23 and the E.W. Scripps Company, notified the Court they have reached a conditional	
19	settlement agreement in this action. (Doc. 15) Pursuant to Local Rule 160, the Court ordered the	
20	parties to file a stipulated request for dismissal no later than December 7, 2017. (Doc. 16) To date, the	
21	stipulation has not been filed, and the parties have not requested an extension of time.	
22	The Local Rules, corresponding with Fed. R. Civ. P. 11, provide: "Failure of counsel or of a	
23	party to comply with any order of the Court may be grounds for the imposition by the Court of any	
24	and all sanctions within the inherent power of the Court." Local Rule 110. "District courts have	
25	inherent power to control their dockets," and in exercising that power, a court may impose sanctions	
26	including dismissal of an action. Thompson v. Housing Authority of Los Angeles, 782 F.2d 829, 831	
27	(9th Cir. 1986). A court may dismiss an action with prejudice, based on a party's failure to prosecute	
28	an action or failure to obey a court order, or failure to comply with local rules. See, e.g. Ferdik v.	

1	Bonzelet, 963 F.2d 1258, 1260-61 (9th Cir. 1992) (imposing sanctions for failure to comply with an	
2	order); Malone v. U.S. Postal Service, 833 F.2d 128, 130 (9th Cir. 1987) (imposing sanctions for	
3	failure to comply with a court order); <i>Henderson v. Duncan</i> , 779 F.2d 1421, 1424 (9th Cir. 1986)	
4	(imposing sanctions for failure to prosecute and to comply with local rules).	
5	Accordingly, the parties are ORDERED to show cause within fourteen days of the date of	
6	service of this Order why sanctions should not be imposed for their failure to comply with the Court's	
7	Order or, in the alternative, to file the stipulated request for dismissal.	
8		
9	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
10	Dated: December 14, 2017 /s/ Jennifer L. Thurston	
11	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19 20		
20		
21 22		
22		
23 24		
24		
23 26		
20		
28		
-		
	2	