1 2 3 4 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 7 8 JACK CHURCH, Case No.: 1:17-cv-00596-AWI-JLT (PC) 9 Plaintiff, ORDER VACATING DISCOVERY AND 10 SCHEDULING ORDER: REFERRING THE CASE TO POST-SCREENING ADR PROJECT: v. 11 and STAYING THE CASE FOR 90 DAYS J. NAFTZGER, 12 Defendant. 13 14 15 As set forth in the screening order, the Court has found the plaintiff has stated at least one 16 cognizable civil rights claim. Thus, the Court vacates the current Discovery and Scheduling Order and 17 STAYS this action for 90 days to allow the parties to investigate the plaintiff's claims, meet and 18 confer and participate in a settlement conference. ¹ 19 There is a presumption that all post-screening civil rights cases assigned to the 20 undersigned will proceed to settlement conference. However, if after investigating plaintiff's claims 21 and speaking with plaintiff, and after conferring with defense counsel's supervisor, counsel finds in 22 good faith that a settlement conference would be a waste of resources², defense counsel may move to 23 opt out of this pilot project. 24 Notwithstanding the requirements of Local Rule 270(b), the settlement conference will be 25 conducted by Magistrate Judge Thurston. The Court deems the deviation from the Local Rule to be 26 27 ¹ If the case does not settle during the stay, Court will thereafter issue a new Discovery and Scheduling Order. 28 ² By way of guidance, if the defense intends to file an exhaustion motion and believes in good faith that it has a significant

chance of success, this would be a likely circumstance where the opt-out provision should be employed.

appropriate and in the interests of the parties and justice and sound case management based upon the location of the parties. If any party prefers that the settlement conference be conducted by a judicial officer who is not assigned to this case, that party is directed to notify the Court in response to this order of this preference and another judicial officer to be assigned to handle the conference. If all parties to the action have consented to Magistrate Judge jurisdiction, the settlement conference will be reassigned to a different judicial officer.

Within 35 days, the assigned Deputy Attorney General SHALL contact the Courtroom Deputy Clerk at SHall@caed.uscourts.gov, to schedule the settlement conference. If the settlement conference cannot be set quickly due to the court's calendar, the parties may seek an extension of the initial 90-day stay.

Once the settlement conference is scheduled, <u>at least seven days before</u> the conference, the parties shall submit to the assigned settlement judge a confidential settlement conference statement. The parties' confidential settlement conference statement **SHALL** include:

- a. A short statement of the facts and alleged damages;
- b. A short procedural history;
- c. A frank analysis of the likelihood of liability, including a discussion of the efforts made to investigate the claims;
 - d. A discussion of the efforts that have been made to settle the case;
- e. To the extent that Doe Defendants are named and their identities can be ascertained, defense counsel shall indicate the names of the Doe Defendants; and,
 - b. Defense counsel shall indicate whether he/she knows of the location of the defendants; Based upon the foregoing, the Court **ORDERS**:
- 1. This action is STAYED for 90 days to allow the parties an opportunity to settle their dispute before a responsive pleading is filed, or the discovery process begins. No other pleadings or other documents may be filed in this case during the stay. The parties SHALL NOT engage in formal discovery, but they may jointly agree to engage in informal discovery.
- 2. <u>Within 30 days from the date of this order</u>, the parties **SHALL** file the attached notice, indicating their agreement to proceed to an early settlement conference or whether they believe

	1		
1	8.	The Discovery and Schedu	uling Order that issued on October 12, 2018 (Doc. 29) is
2	VACATED		
3			
4	IT IS SO OR	RDERED.	
5	Dated:	October 29, 2018	/s/ Jennifer L. Thurston
6	Buieu		UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28	1		

1					
2					
3					
4					
5					
6	UNITED STA	TES DISTRICT COURT			
7	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
8	Ensite William (Bis				
9	JACK CHURCH,	Case No.: 1:17-cv-00596-AWI-JLT (PC)			
10	Plaintiff,	NOTICE REGARDING EARLY SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE			
11	V.				
12	J. NAFTZGER,				
13	Defendant.				
14					
15	As required by the Court's order:				
16					
17	1. The party or counsel for the pa	arty signing below, agrees that there is a good chance that			
18	an early settlement conference will resolve this action and wishes to engage in an early settlement				
19	conference.				
20	Yes No _				
21					
22	2. The party or counsel for the pa	arty signing below, agrees the assigned Magistrate Judge			
23	may conduct the settlement conference.				
24	Yes No _				
25	///				
26	///				
27	//				
28	//				
	11				

1	3.	The plaintiff (Check one):
2		Would like to participate in the settlement conference in person, OR
3		Would like to participate in the settlement conference by video conference.
4		
5	Dated:	
6		Plaintiff or Counsel for Defendants
7		Traintiff of Counsel for Defendants
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
2627		
28		
ں∡	I	