1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9		
10	OLGA CASILDO, et al.,) Case No.: 1:17-cv-0601 - LJO - JLT
11	Plaintiffs,) SCHEDULING ORDER (Fed. R. Civ. P. 16)
12	v.) Pleading Amendment Deadline: 8/27/2018
13	ESPARZA ENTERPRISES, INC.,)) Discovery Deadlines:
14	Defendants.) Initial Disclosures: 6/15/2018
15) Non-Expert: 1/31/2019 Expert: 4/18/2019
16		Mid-Discovery Status Conference: 9/10/2018 at 8:30 a.m.
17		
18		Non-Dispositive Motion Deadlines: Filing: 4/30/2019
19		Hearing: 5/28/2019
20		Dispositive Motion Deadlines: Filing: 6/11/2019
21		Hearing: 7/23/2019
22		Pre-Trial Conference:
23		9/17/2019 at 8:30 a.m. Courtroom 4
24		
25		Trial: 11/19/2019 at 8:30 a.m. Courtroom 4
26		Jury trial: 5-7 days
27	I. <u>Date of Scheduling Conference</u>	

II. Appearances of Counsel

Ari Stiller appeared on behalf of Plaintiff.

Daniel Klingenberger appeared on behalf of Defendant.

III. Pleading Amendment Deadline

Any requested pleading amendments are ordered to be filed, either through a stipulation or motion to amend, no later than **August 27, 2018**.

IV. Discovery Plan and Cut-Off Date

The parties are ordered to exchange the initial disclosures required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1) on or before **June 15, 2018**.

The parties are ordered to complete all discovery pertaining to non-experts on or before **January 31, 2019** and all discovery pertaining to experts on or before **April 18, 2019**.

The parties are directed to disclose all expert witnesses¹, in writing, on or before **February 28**, **2019**, and to disclose all rebuttal experts on or before **March 28**, **2019**. The written designation of retained and non-retained experts shall <u>be made pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. Rule 26(a)(2), (A), (B), and (C) and shall include all information required thereunder.</u> Failure to designate experts in compliance with this order may result in the Court excluding the testimony or other evidence offered through such experts that are not disclosed pursuant to this order.

The provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(4) and (5) shall apply to all discovery relating to experts and their opinions. Experts must be fully prepared to be examined on all subjects and opinions included in the designation. Failure to comply will result in the imposition of sanctions, which may include striking the expert designation and preclusion of expert testimony.

The provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(e) regarding a party's duty to timely supplement disclosures and responses to discovery requests will be strictly enforced.

A mid-discovery status conference is scheduled for **September 10, 2018** at 8:30 a.m. before the Honorable Jennifer L. Thurston, U.S. Magistrate Judge, located at 510 19th Street, Bakersfield, California. Counsel **SHALL** file a joint mid-discovery status conference report one week before the

¹ In the event an expert will offer opinions related to an independent evaluation, the evaluation SHALL occur sufficiently in advance of the disclosure deadline so the expert's report fully details the expert's opinions in this regard.

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

conference. Counsel also **SHALL** lodge the status report via e-mail to JLTorders@caed.uscourts.gov. The joint statement **SHALL** outline the discovery counsel have completed and that which needs to be completed as well as any impediments to completing the discovery within the deadlines set forth in this order. Counsel may appear via teleconference by dialing (888) 557-8511 and entering Access Code 1652736, provided the Magistrate Judge's Courtroom Deputy Clerk receives a written notice of the intent to appear telephonically no later than five court days before the noticed hearing date.

V. **Pre-Trial Motion Schedule**

All non-dispositive pre-trial motions, including any discovery motions, shall be filed no later than April 30, 2019, and heard on or before May 28, 2019. Non-dispositive motions are heard before the Honorable Jennifer L. Thurston, United States Magistrate Judge at the United States Courthouse in Bakersfield, California.

No motion to amend or stipulation to amend the case schedule will be entertained unless it is filed at least one week before the first deadline the parties wish to extend. Likewise, no written discovery motions shall be filed without the prior approval of the assigned Magistrate Judge. A party with a discovery dispute must first confer with the opposing party in a good faith effort to resolve by agreement the issues in dispute. If that good faith effort is unsuccessful, the moving party promptly shall seek a telephonic hearing with all involved parties and the Magistrate Judge. It shall be the obligation of the moving party to arrange and originate the conference call to the court. To schedule this telephonic hearing, the parties are ordered to contact the Courtroom Deputy Clerk, Susan Hall, at (661) 326-6620 or via email at SHall@caed.uscourts.gov. Counsel must comply with Local Rule 251 with respect to discovery disputes or the motion will be denied without prejudice and dropped

from the Court's calendar.

Counsel may appear and argue non-dispositive motions via teleconference by dialing (888) 557-8511 and entering Access Code 1652736, provided the Magistrate Judge's Courtroom Deputy Clerk receives a written notice of the intent to appear telephonically no later than five court days before the noticed hearing date.

All dispositive pre-trial motions shall be filed no later than **June 11, 2019** and heard no later than **July 23, 2019**, in Courtroom 4 at 8:30 a.m. before the Honorable Lawrence J. O'Neill, United

States District Court Judge. In scheduling such motions, <u>counsel shall comply with Fed. R. Civ. P. 56</u> and Local Rules 230 and 260.

VI. Motions for Summary Judgment or Summary Adjudication

At least 21 days before filing a motion for summary judgment or motion for summary adjudication, the parties are **ORDERED** to meet, in person or by telephone, to confer about the issues to be raised in the motion.

The purpose of the meeting shall be to: 1) avoid filing motions for summary judgment where a question of fact exists; 2) determine whether the respondent agrees that the motion has merit in whole or in part; 3) discuss whether issues can be resolved without the necessity of briefing; 4) narrow the issues for review by the court; 5) explore the possibility of settlement before the parties incur the expense of briefing a motion; and 6) to develop a joint statement of undisputed facts.

The moving party **SHALL** initiate the meeting and **SHALL** provide a complete, proposed statement of undisputed facts <u>at least five days before</u> the conference. The finalized joint statement of undisputed facts **SHALL** include all facts that the parties agree, for purposes of the motion, may be deemed true. In addition to the requirements of Local Rule 260, the moving party shall file the joint statement of undisputed facts.

In the notice of motion the moving party **SHALL** certify that the parties have met and conferred as ordered above, or set forth a statement of good cause for the failure to meet and confer. **Failure to comply may result in the motion being stricken.**

VII. Pre-Trial Conference Date

September 17, 2019 at 8:30 a.m. in Courtroom 4 before Judge O'Neill.

The parties are ordered to file a <u>Joint Pretrial Statement pursuant to Local Rule 281(a)(2)</u>. The parties are further directed to submit a digital copy of their pretrial statement in Word format, directly to Judge O'Neill's chambers, by email at LJOorders@caed.uscourts.gov.

Counsels' attention is directed to **Rules 281 and 282 of the Local Rules** of Practice for the Eastern District of California, as to the obligations of counsel in preparing for the pre-trial conference. The Court will insist upon strict compliance with those rules. In addition to the matters set forth in the Local Rules the Joint Pretrial Statement shall include a Joint Statement of the case to be used by the

Court to explain the nature of the case to the jury during voir dire.

VIII. Trial Date

November 19, 2019² at 8:30 a.m. in Courtroom 4 before the Honorable Lawrence J. O'Neill, United States District Court Judge.

- A. This is a jury trial.
- B. Counsels' Estimate of Trial Time: 5-7 days.
- C. Counsels' attention is directed to Local Rules of Practice for the Eastern District of California, Rule 285.

IX. Settlement Conference

The parties may request a settlement conference if they believe there is a likelihood the conference would be fruitful.

X. Request for Bifurcation, Appointment of Special Master, or other

Techniques to Shorten Trial

Not applicable at this time.

XI. Related Matters Pending

There are no pending related matters.

XII. Compliance with Federal Procedure

All counsel are expected to familiarize themselves with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Local Rules of Practice of the Eastern District of California, and to keep abreast of any amendments thereto. The Court must insist upon compliance with these Rules if it is to efficiently handle its increasing case load and sanctions will be imposed for failure to follow the Rules as provided in both the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Local Rules of Practice for the Eastern District of California.

XIII. Effect of this Order

The foregoing order represents the best estimate of the court and counsel as to the agenda most suitable to dispose of this case. The trial date reserved is specifically reserved for this case. If the

² Counsel are advised that Judge O'Neill has announced that he will retire from the Court at the end of January 2020. In the event that the trial is not completed before his retirement, the case will be reassigned. In recent times, this process has taken up to three years to complete.

parties determine at any time that the schedule outlined in this order cannot be met, counsel are ordered to notify the court immediately of that fact so that adjustments may be made, either by stipulation or by subsequent status conference.

The dates set in this Order are considered to be firm and will not be modified absent a showing of good cause even if the request to modify is made by stipulation. Stipulations extending the deadlines contained herein will not be considered unless they are accompanied by affidavits or declarations, and where appropriate attached exhibits, which establish good cause for granting the relief requested.

Failure to comply with this order may result in the imposition of sanctions.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: May 31, 2018 /s/ Jennifer L. Thurston
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE