1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STAT	TES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	OLGA CASILDO, et al.,) Case No.: 1:17-cv-00601 LJO JLT	
12	Plaintiffs,)) ORDER TO PLAINTIFFS TO SHOW CAUSE	
13	v.) WHY SANCTIONS SHOULD NOT BE IMPOSED) FOR THE FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH THE	
14	ESPARZA ENTERPRISES, et al.,) COURT'S ORDERS AND TO PROSECUTE THIS) ACTION; ORDER CONTINUING SCHEDULING 	
15	Defendants.) CONFERENCE	
16			
17	On April 28, 2017, the plaintiffs initiated this action for themselves and on behalf of a		
18	prospective class. (Doc. 1) On May 1, 2017, the Court issued the summons (Doc. 4) and its order		
19	setting the mandatory scheduling conference to occur on July 26, 2017. (Doc. 5) In its order setting		
20	the mandatory scheduling conference, the Court advised counsel:		
21	The Court is unable to conduct a scheduling conference until defendants have been served with the summons and complaint. Accordingly, plaintiff(s) shall diligently pursue service of summons and complaint and dismiss those defendants against whom plaintiff(s) will not pursue claims. Plaintiff(s) shall promptly file proofs of service of the summons and complaint so the Court has a record of service. Counsel are referred to F.R.Civ.P., Rule 4 regarding the requirement of timely service of the complaint. Failure to timely serve summons		
22			
23			
24	and complaint may result in the imposition of sanctions, including the dismissal of unserved defendants.		
25			
26	(Doc. 5 at 1-2, emphasis added) Despite this, the plaintiffs have not filed a proof of service of		
27	the summons and complaint as to the defendan	t. Nevertheless, on May 22, 2017, the parties stipulated	
28			
		1	

1	to allow the defendant until June 19, 2017 to file a responsive pleading (Doc. 6); this has not		
2	occurred. Therefore, the Court ORDERS,		
3	1. <u>No later t</u>	han July 21, 2017, the plaintiffs SHALL show cause why sanctions should	
4	not be imposed for the failure to serve and file proofs of service on the defendants. Alternatively, the		
5	plaintiff may file proofs of service;		
6	2. Due the fa	ilure of the defendant to appear and the lack of proof of service which would	
7	prevent entry of default, the scheduling conference, currently set on June 26, 2017 is CONTINUED to		
8	August 21, 2017 at 8:30 a.m.		
9	Plaintiff is reminded of the service obligations under Fed. R. Civ. P. 4. Failure to comply		
10	may result in the imposition of sanctions, including the dismissal of unserved defendants.		
11			
12	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
13	Dated: July 13,		
14		UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
		2	