1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 AUBREY LEE BROTHERS, II, Case No. 1:17-cv-00607-LJO-JDP 12 Plaintiff. ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME 13 v. ECF No. 43 14 CHITA BUENAFE, et al., ORDER DENYING PENDING 15 Defendants. SCHEDULING AND DISCOVERY MOTIONS 16 ECF Nos. 40, 42 17 18 19 Plaintiff moves for an extension of time to respond to defendants' motion for summary 20 judgment. For good cause shown, plaintiff's motion is granted. ECF No. 43. Plaintiff shall have 21 thirty days from the date of entry of this order to file his opposition to defendants' motion. 22 The parties have also filed multiple motions regarding discovery and scheduling but have 23 failed to confer before filing. See Fed R. Civ. P. 37; Local R. 144. It appears that defendants did 24 not have plaintiff's phone number, see ECF No. 40, but that plaintiff has since provided it, see 25 ECF Nos. 41, 42, 43. Therefore, the parties are directed to discuss whether they can come to an 26 agreement regarding discovery and scheduling. Both parties' pending motions regarding 27 discovery and scheduling are denied without prejudice. ECF Nos. 40, 42. 28 1