

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JORGE GUZMAN HERNANDEZ,  
Plaintiff  
v.  
NATIONAL EXPRESS TRANSIT  
CORPORATION, *et al.*,  
Defendants.

Case No. 1:17-cv-00610-DAD-EPG  
ORDER DIRECTING THE CLERK OF THE  
COURT TO CLOSE CASE  
(ECF No. 15)

On June 27, 2017, Plaintiff Jorge Hernandez filed a notice of voluntary dismissal  
dismissing his claims against Defendant Daniel Klempel without prejudice. (ECF No. 7.)

On November 20, 2017, Plaintiff Jorge Hernandez filed a stipulation of dismissal of the  
remainder of the claims in the case. (ECF No. 15). All parties have agreed to the dismissal. *Id.* In  
light of the stipulation, the case has ended and is dismissed with prejudice. *See Fed. R. Civ. P.*  
41(a)(1)(A)(ii); *Wilson v. City of San Jose*, 111 F.3d 688, 692 (9th Cir. 1997); *Concha v. London*,  
62 F.3d 1493, 1506 (9th Cir. 1995) (“Even if the defendant has filed a motion to dismiss, the  
plaintiff may terminate his action voluntarily by filing a notice of dismissal under Rule  
41(a)(1).”). Accordingly, the Clerk of the Court is DIRECTED to close this case.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: November 27, 2017

/s/ *Eric P. Groj*  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE