

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

3 CHARLES RAY CATHY,
4

5 Plaintiff,

6 v.

7 B. HICKS, et al.,

8 Defendant.
9

Case No. 1:17-cv-00624-EPG

ORDER DIRECTING THE CLERK OF THE
COURT TO CLOSE CASE

(ECF No. 13)

10 On November 16, 2017, the parties filed a stipulation to dismiss this action with prejudice.
11 (ECF No. 13.) All parties have agreed to the dismissal. *Id.* In light of the stipulation, the case has
12 ended and is dismissed with prejudice. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a)(1)(A)(ii); *Wilson v. City of San*
13 *Jose*, 111 F.3d 688, 692 (9th Cir. 1997); *Concha v. London*, 62 F.3d 1493, 1506 (9th Cir. 1995)
14 (“Even if the defendant has filed a motion to dismiss, the plaintiff may terminate his action
15 voluntarily by filing a notice of dismissal under Rule 41(a)(1).”). Accordingly, the Clerk of the
16 Court is DIRECTED to close this case.

17
18 IT IS SO ORDERED.

19 Dated: November 20, 2017

/s/ Eric P. Gray
20 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28