1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	ANGELINA NUNES, et al.,	Case No. 1:17-cv-00633-DAD-SAB
12	Plaintiffs,	ORDER DISCHARGING ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE AND DIRECTING CLERK OF COURT TO CLOSE CASE AND ADJUST THE DOCKET TO REFLECT VOLUNTARY DISMISSAL
13	v.	
14	COUNTY OF STANISLAUS, et al.,	PURSUANT TO RULE 41(a) OF THE FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE
15	Defendants.	(ECF Nos. 99, 100, 101)
16		
17		
18	Plaintiffs Angelina Nunes, Emanuel Alves, and minors D.X. and L.X.'s (collectively	
19	"Plaintiffs") initiated this civil action against Defendants County of Stanislaus, Kristen Johnson,	
20	and Eric Anderson on May 5, 2017. (ECF No. 1.) On June 21, 2022, the Court granted the joint	
21	petition for minor's compromise as to Plaintiffs D.X. and L.X.'s claims and approved the	
22	settlement. (ECF No. 98.) The parties were directed to file a stipulation or request for dismissal	
23	of the action within fourteen days, or July 5, 2022. (Id. at 2.) As of July 6, 2022, the parties had	
24	not filed anything. Accordingly, the Court issued an order to show cause why monetary sanctions	
25	should not issue for the failure to comply with the Court's orders. (ECF No. 99.)	
26	On July 8, 2022, the parties concurrently filed a response to the order to show cause and a	
27	joint stipulation for dismissal with prejudice. (ECF Nos. 100, 101.) The parties proffer they	
28	mistakenly believed that, because the Nunes I and Nunes II cases were related, they did not need	

1	to file notices of dismissal in both cases, despite the Court's language specifying that the cases	
2	were merely being related and not consolidated. The parties apologize for the oversight and	
3	request that sanctions not issue. (ECF No. 100.) The Court finds good cause exists to discharge	
4	the order to show cause.	
5	Further, in light of the notice of dismissal, this action has been terminated, Fed. R. Civ. P.	
6	41(a)(1)(A)(ii); Wilson v. City of San Jose, 111 F.3d 688, 692 (9th Cir. 1997), and has been	
7	dismissed with prejudice, pursuant to the terms of the parties' settlement agreement.	
8	Accordingly, IS IT HEREBY ORDERED that the May 24, 2022 order to show cause	
9	(ECF No. 99) is DISCHARGED. Furthermore, the Clerk of the Court is HEREBY DIRECTED	
10	to close the case and adjust the docket to reflect voluntary dismissal of this action pursuant to	
11	Rule 41(a).	
12		
13	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
14	Dated: July 11, 2022 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
15	UNITED STATES MADISTRATE JUDGE	
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		