

1 to file notices of dismissal in both cases, despite the Court's language specifying that the cases
2 were merely being related and not consolidated. The parties apologize for the oversight and
3 request that sanctions not issue. (ECF No. 100.) The Court finds good cause exists to discharge
4 the order to show cause.

5 Further, in light of the notice of dismissal, this action has been terminated, Fed. R. Civ. P.
6 41(a)(1)(A)(ii); Wilson v. City of San Jose, 111 F.3d 688, 692 (9th Cir. 1997), and has been
7 dismissed with prejudice, pursuant to the terms of the parties' settlement agreement.

8 Accordingly, IS IT HEREBY ORDERED that the May 24, 2022 order to show cause
9 (ECF No. 99) is DISCHARGED. Furthermore, the Clerk of the Court is HEREBY DIRECTED
10 to close the case and adjust the docket to reflect voluntary dismissal of this action pursuant to
11 Rule 41(a).

12 IT IS SO ORDERED.

13 Dated: July 11, 2022

14 
15 _____
16 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28