

1 HELEN B. KIM, CSB 138209
hkim@thompsoncoburn.com
2 ROWENA SANTOS, CSB 210185
3 rsantos@thompsoncoburn.com
4 THOMPSON COBURN LLP
2029 Century Park East, Suite 1900
5 Los Angeles, California 90067
6 Tel: 310.282.2500 / Fax: 310.282.2501

7 Attorneys for Defendant,
8 CHARTER COMMUNICATIONS, INC.

9

10 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
11 **FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

12

13 TERI BROWN, individually and on
14 behalf of all other similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

15

v.

16

17 CHARTER COMMUNICATIONS,
18 INC. d/b/a SPECTRUM, and JOHN
DOES 1-10,

Defendants.

19

CASE NO. 1:17-CV-00670-LJO-JLT
(Doc. 7)

20

21 This Stipulation is entered into by and between the parties, plaintiff, TERI
22 BROWN (“Plaintiff”), and defendant, CHARTER COMMUNICATIONS, INC.

23 d/b/a SPECTRUM (“Defendant”), through their respective undersigned counsel
24 based upon the following facts:

25

26

27

28

1. On July 3, 2017, Plaintiff served her Complaint filed in the United
States District Court for the Eastern District of California, and the deadline by which
Defendant is to respond to the Complaint is July 24, 2017;

2. Plaintiff and Defendant (collectively “Parties”) agreed to extend the

1 time in which Defendant is to answer, move, or otherwise plead to the Complaint
2 herein from July 24, 2017 to August 21, 2017;

3 3. No other extensions have been requested or ordered.

4 **IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED** by and between the Parties, through their
5 respective undersigned counsel that Defendant shall have to and including August
6 21, 2017 in which to answer, move, or otherwise plead to the Complaint herein.

7 DATED: July 13, 2017

THOMPSON COBURN LLP

8

By: /s/ Rowena Santos

9

Helen B. Kim
Rowena Santos

10

*Attorneys for Defendant,
CHARTER COMMUNICATIONS, INC.*

11

12

DATED: July 12, 2017

LAW OFFICES OF TODD M. FRIEDMAN, P.C.

13

By: /s/ Todd M. Friedman

14

Todd M. Friedman
Adrian R. Bacon
Meghan E. George

15

16

Attorneys for Plaintiff

17

[PROPOSED] ORDER

18

19

As noted in Local Rule 144(a)¹, no order of the Court is required related to “an initial
stipulation extending time for no more than twenty-eight (28) days to respond to a complaint.”

20

However, because the parties submitted the proposed order with their stipulation (Doc. 7), the

21

Court **GRANTS** that request.

22

23

IT IS SO ORDERED.

24

Dated: July 13, 2017

/s/ Jennifer L. Thurston
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

25

26

27

28

¹ Counsel SHALL familiarize themselves with the Court’s Local Rules.