1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
8		
9	TERI BROWN, individually and on	Case No. 1: 17-cv-00675-LJO-SAB
10	behalf of all others similarly situated,	SCHEDULING ORDER (Fed. R. Civ. P 16)
11	Plaintiff,	Class Certification Deadline:
12		Motion Filing: January 12, 2018
13		<b>Discovery Deadline:</b> December 11, 2017
14	V.	
15		
16	JONATHAN NEIL & ASSOCIATES,	
17	INC.,	
18	Defendant.	
19		
20		
21	I. <u>Date of Scheduling Conference</u>	
22	The Scheduling Conference was held on <b>July 27, 2017</b> .	
23	II. Appearances of Counse	<u>l</u>
24	Yitzchak Zelman telephonically appeared on behalf of Plaintiffs.	
25	Christopher Egan telephonically appeared on behalf of Defendants.	
26	III. Consent to Magistrate Judge	
27	The parties have not filed a consent or decline form in this matter.	
28		

The Fresno Division of the Eastern District of California now has the heaviest District Judge caseload in the entire nation. While the Court will use its best efforts to resolve this case and all other civil cases in a timely manner, the parties are admonished that not all of the parties' needs and expectations may be met as expeditiously as desired. As multiple trials are now being set to begin upon the same date, parties may find their case trailing with little notice before the trial begins when the case is heard before a United States District Judge. The law requires the Court give any criminal case priority over civil trials and other matters, and the Court must proceed with criminal trials even if a civil trial is older or was set earlier. Continuances of civil trials under these circumstances will no longer be entertained, absent a specific and stated finding of good cause. If multiple trials are scheduled to begin on the same day, this civil trial will trail day to day or week to week until completion of any criminal case or older civil case.

The parties are advised of the availability of a United States Magistrate Judge to conduct all proceedings in this action. A United States Magistrate Judge is available to conduct trials, including entry of final judgment, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 28 U.S.C. 636(c), Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 73, and Local Rule 305. The parties are free to withhold consent or decline magistrate jurisdiction without adverse substantive consequences.

#### IV. Initial Disclosure under Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1)

The parties shall exchange the initial disclosures required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1) on or before **August 18, 2017.** 

# V. Amendments to Pleading

Any motions or stipulations requesting leave to amend the pleadings must be filed no later than **September 29, 2017.** The parties are advised that filing motions and/or stipulations requesting leave to amend the pleadings does not reflect on the propriety of the amendment or imply good cause to modify the existing schedule, if necessary. All proposed amendments must (A) be supported by good cause pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(b) if the amendment requires any modification to the existing schedule, *see* <u>Johnson v. Mammoth Recreations, Inc.</u>, 975 F.2d 604, 609 (9th Cir. 1992), and (B) establish, under Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a), that such an amendment is not (1) prejudicial to the opposing party, (2) the product of undue delay, (3) proposed in bad faith, or

(4) futile, see Foman v. Davis, 371 U.S. 178, 182 (1962).

# VI. <u>Class Certification</u>

The Court finds that discovery shall proceed by a phased approach in this matter. This first phase shall relate to class certification. Any motions for class certification shall be filed on or before **January 12, 2018**. The hearing on the class certification motion shall be set before the undersigned pursuant to the Local Rule.

# VII. Discovery Plan and Cut-Off Dates

The parties are ordered to complete all class certification discovery on or before **December 11, 2017.** 

The written designation of retained and non-retained experts shall <u>be made pursuant to</u>

Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2), (A), (B) and (C) and shall include all information required

thereunder. Failure to designate experts in compliance with this order may result in the Court excluding the testimony or other evidence offered through the experts that are not properly disclosed in compliance with this order.

The provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(4) and (5) shall apply to all discovery relating to experts and their opinions. Experts must be fully prepared to be examined on all subjects and opinions included in the designation. Failure to comply will result in the imposition of sanctions, which may include striking the expert designation and the exclusion of their testimony.

The provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(e) regarding a party's duty to timely supplement disclosures and responses to discovery requests will be strictly enforced.

The parties are cautioned that the discovery/expert cut-off deadlines are the dates by which all discovery must be completed. Absent good cause, discovery motions will not be heard after the discovery deadlines. Moreover, absent good cause, the Court will only grant relief on a discovery motion if the relief requested requires the parties to act before the expiration of the relevant discovery deadline. In other words, discovery requests and deposition notices must be served sufficiently in advance of the discovery deadlines to permit time for a response, time to meet and confer, time to prepare, file and hear a motion to compel and time to obtain relief on a motion to compel. Counsel are expected to take these contingencies into account when proposing

discovery deadlines. Compliance with these discovery cutoffs requires motions to compel be filed *and heard* sufficiently in advance of the discovery cutoff so that the Court may grant effective relief within the allotted discovery time. A party's failure to have a discovery dispute heard sufficiently in advance of the discovery cutoff may result in denial of the motion as untimely.

## VIII. Pre-Trial Motion Schedule

Unless prior leave of Court is obtained at least seven (7) days before the filing date, all moving and opposition briefs or legal memorandum in civil cases shall not exceed twenty-five (25) pages. Reply briefs filed by moving parties shall not exceed ten (10) pages. Before scheduling any motion, the parties must comply with all requirements set forth in Local Rule 230 and 251.

## A. Non-Dispositive Pre-Trial Motions

As noted, all non-expert discovery, including motions to compel, shall be completed no later than **December 11, 2017**. All expert discovery, including motions to compel, shall be completed no later than **December 11, 2017**. Compliance with these discovery cutoffs requires motions to compel be filed *and heard* sufficiently in advance of the discovery cutoff so that the Court may grant effective relief within the allotted discovery time. A party's failure to have a discovery dispute heard sufficiently in advance of the discovery cutoff may result in denial of the motion as untimely. Non-dispositive motions are heard on Wednesdays at 10:00 a.m., before United States Magistrate Judge Stanley A. Boone in Courtroom 9.

In scheduling any non-dispositive motion, the Magistrate Judge may grant Applications for an Order Shortening Time pursuant to Local Rule 144(e). However, if counsel does not obtain an Order Shortening Time, the Notice of Motion must comply with Local Rule 251.

Counsel may appear and argue non-dispositive motions by telephone, providing a written request to so appear is made to the Magistrate Judge's Courtroom Clerk no later than three (3) court days before the noticed hearing date. In the event that more than one attorney requests to appear by telephone, then it shall be the obligation of the moving party(ies) to arrange and originate a conference call to the court.

Discovery Disputes: If a motion is brought under Fed. R. Civ. P. 37, the parties must prepare and file a Joint Statement re Discovery Disagreement ("Joint Statement") as required by Local Rule 251. The Joint Statement must be filed seven (7) calendar days before the scheduled hearing date. Courtesy copies of all motion-related documents, declarations, and exhibits must be delivered to the Clerk's Office by 10:00 a.m. on the fourth court day prior to the scheduled hearing date. Motions will be removed from the court's hearing calendar if the Joint Statement is not timely filed or if courtesy copies are not timely delivered. In order to satisfy the meet and confer requirement set forth in Local Rule 251(b), the parties must confer and talk to each other in person, over the telephone or via video conferencing before the hearing about the discovery dispute. The Court may issue sanctions against the moving party or the opposing party if either party fails to meet and confer in good faith.

## **B.** Dispositive Pre-Trial Motions

**Motions for Summary Judgment or Summary Adjudication:** Prior to filing a motion for summary judgment or motion for summary adjudication, the parties are ORDERED to meet, in person or by telephone, and confer to discuss the issues to be raised in the motion.

The purpose of the meeting shall be to: 1) avoid filing motions for summary judgment where a question of fact exists; 2) determine whether the respondent agrees that the motion has merit in whole or in part; 3) discuss whether issues can be resolved without the necessity of briefing; 4) narrow the issues for review by the court; 5) explore the possibility of settlement before the parties incur the expense of briefing a summary judgment motion; and 6) to arrive at a Joint Statement of Undisputed Facts.

The moving party shall initiate the meeting and provide a draft of the Joint Statement of Undisputed Facts. In addition to the requirements of Local Rule 260, the moving party shall file a <u>Joint Statement of Undisputed Facts</u>.

In the Notice of Motion, the moving party shall certify that the parties have met and conferred as ordered above or set forth a statement of good cause for the failure to meet and confer.

///

## IX. Related Matters Pending

There are no related cases currently pending.

#### X. <u>Compliance with Federal Procedure</u>

All counsel are expected to familiarize themselves with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Local Rules of the Eastern District of California, and to keep abreast of any amendments thereto. The Court must insist upon compliance with these Rules if it is to efficiently handle its increasing case load and sanctions will be imposed for failure to follow the Rules as provided in both the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Local Rules for the Eastern District of California.

Additional requirements and more detailed procedures for courtroom practice before United States Magistrate Judge Stanley A. Boone can be found at the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California's website (<a href="www.caed.uscourts.gov">www.caed.uscourts.gov</a>) under Judges; United States Magistrate Judge Stanley A. Boone (SAB). In the area entitled "Case Management Procedures," there is a link to "Standard Information." All parties and counsel shall comply with the guidelines set forth therein.

## XI. <u>Effect of this Order</u>

The foregoing order represents the best estimate of the court and counsel as to the agenda most suitable to dispose of this case. If the parties determine at any time that the schedule outlined in this order cannot be met, counsel are ordered to notify the court immediately of that fact so that adjustments may be made, either by stipulation or by subsequent status conference.

Stipulations extending the deadlines contained herein will not be considered unless they are accompanied by affidavits or declarations, and where appropriate attached exhibits, which establish good cause for granting the relief requested. The parties are advised that due to the impacted nature of civil cases on the district judges in the Eastern District of California, Fresno Division, that stipulations to continue set dates are disfavored and will not be granted absent good cause.

Lastly, should counsel or a party appearing pro se fail to comply with the directions as set forth above, an ex parte hearing may be held and contempt sanctions, including

1	monetary sanctions, dismissal, default, or other appropriate judgment, may be imposed	
2	and/or ordered.	
3		
4	IT IS SO ORDERED.	SILE
5	Dated: <b>July 27, 2017</b>	THE WAR AND THE WAR AND THE WAR AND THE
6		UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		