

1
2
3
4 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
5 **EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
6

7 **JERRY LEE KING,**

8 **Plaintiff,**

9 **v.**

10 **R. VILLEGAS and P. CRUZ,**

11 **Defendants.**

CASE NO. 1:17-cv-00676-AWI-EPG (PC)

**ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND
RECOMMENDATIONS, AND
DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR
INJUNCTIVE RELIEF**

(Doc. Nos. 99 & 101)

12
13
14 Plaintiff Jerry King is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis with
15 this civil rights action under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States
16 magistrate judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Eastern District of California Local
17 Rule 302.

18 On September 21, 2021, Plaintiff filed what the Court construes as a motion for injunctive
19 relief. Doc. No. 99. On September 23, 2021, the assigned magistrate judge entered findings and
20 recommendations, recommending that Plaintiff's motion be denied. Doc. No. 101 at 3–4.
21 Plaintiff was provided an opportunity to file objections to the findings and recommendations. Id.
22 at 4. The deadline to do so has passed and Plaintiff has not filed objections or otherwise
23 responded to the findings and recommendations.

24 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), this Court has conducted a
25 de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the Court concludes that
26 the findings and recommendations are supported by the record and by proper analysis.

27 ///

28 ///

ORDER

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

1. The findings and recommendations (Doc. No. 101) that were issued on September 23, 2021, are ADOPTED in full; and
2. Plaintiff's motion for injunctive relief (Doc. No. 99) is DENIED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: October 29, 2021



SENIOR DISTRICT JUDGE

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28