1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 MICHAEL J. F. SMITH, A PROFESSIONAL C MICHAEL J. F. SMITH (State Bar No. 109426) JOHN L. MIGLIAZZO (State Bar No. 272066) 1391 West Shaw Avenue, Suite D Fresno, California 93711 Telephone: (559) 229-3900 Facsimile: (559) 229-3903 Attorneys for Plaintiff STEPHANIE MARISCAL KILPATRICK TOWNSEND & STOCKTON LI SUSAN W. PANGBORN (State Bar No. 282533 spangborn@kilpatricktownsend.com KENDRA C. CHAPMAN (State Bar No. 294030 kchapman@kilpatricktownsend.com Two Embarcadero Center, Suite 1900 San Francisco, California 94111 Telephone: (415) 576 0200 Facsimile: (415) 576 0300 	_P)
	Facsimile: (415) 576 0300	
12	Attorneys for Defendant AT&T MOBILITY SERVICES LLC	
13	AT&T WOBILITT SERVICES ELC	
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
15	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
16	FRESNO DIVISION	
17		
18	STEPHANIE MARISCAL,	Case No. 1:17-cv-00688-DAD-EPG
19	an individual,	
20	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION FOR DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE AND ORDER
21	v.	ORDER DIRECTING CLERK OF
22	AT&T MOBILITY SERVICES, LLC,	COURT TO CLOSE CASE
23	a California Limited Liability Company; and DOES 1-50, inclusive,	
24		
25	Defendants.	
26		
27		
28		
28		

1	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED	AND AGREED by and between the parties to this
2	action, by and through their respective counsel of record, that the entire action shall be	
3	dismissed with prejudice as to all parties pursuant to Rule 41(a)(1)(A)(ii) of the Federal Rules of	
4	Civil Procedure. Each party is to bear its own costs and fees.	
5		
6	Dated: February 15, 2018	Respectfully submitted,
7		MICHAEL J. F. SMITH,
8		A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION
9		By: /s/ John L. Migliazzo [as authorized on 2/15/2018]
10		Michael J.F. Smith John L. Migliazzo
11		Attorneys for Plaintiff
12		STEPHANIE MARISCAL
13		
14	Dated: February 15, 2018	Respectfully submitted,
15		KILPATRICK TOWNSEND & STOCKTON LLP
16		By: /s/ Susan W. Pangborn
17		Susan W. Pangborn Kendra C. Chapman
18		
19 20		Attorneys for Defendant AT&T MOBILITY SERVICES, LLC
20 21		
21 22		
22		
23 24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

1	<u>ORDER</u>	
2	On February 15, 2018, the parties filed a stipulation to dismiss this action with prejudice.	
3	(ECF No. 25.) All parties have agreed to the dismissal. In light of the stipulation, the case has	
4	ended, see Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a)(1)(A)(ii); Wilson v. City of San Jose, 111 F.3d 688, 692 (9th Cir.	
5	1997), and is dismissed with prejudice. Concha v. London, 62 F.3d 1493, 1506 (9th Cir. 1995).	
6	Accordingly, the Clerk of the Court is DIRECTED to close this case.	
7		
8	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
9	Dated: February 15, 2018 /s/ Encir P. Story	
10	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		