1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
<u>6</u>		
7		
8	UNITED STATI	ES DISTRICT COURT
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	AMANDO VILLARREAL HEREDIA,	1:17-cv-00717-MJS (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER TRANSFERRING CASE TO THE
13	V.	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
14	WARDEN LAWRENCE, et al,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	Plaintiff is a federal prisoner proceeding pro se in a civil rights action pursuant to	
18	Bivens vs. Six Unknown Agents, 403 U.S. 388 (1971).	
19	The federal venue statute requires that a civil action, other than one based on	
20	diversity jurisdiction, be brought only in "(1) a judicial district where any defendant	
21	resides, if all defendants reside in the same state, (2) a judicial district in which a	
22	substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred, or a	
23	substantial part of the property that is the subject of the action is situated, or (3) a judicia	
24	district in which any defendant may be found, if there is no district in which the action	
25	may otherwise be brought." 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b).	
26	In this case, none of the defendants reside in this district. The claim arose in San	
27	Diego County, which is in the Southern District of California. Therefore, plaintiff's claim	

should have been filed in the United States District Court for the Southern District of California. In the interest of justice, a federal court may transfer a complaint filed in the wrong district to the correct district. See 28 U.S.C. § 1406(a); Starnes v. McGuire, 512 F.2d 918, 932 (D.C. Cir. 1974). Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this matter is transferred to the United States District Court for the Southern District of California. IT IS SO ORDERED. 181 Michael J. Seng Dated: August 2, 2017