| 1 | | |
|---------------------------------|---|--|
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | |
| 7 | EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | |
| 8 | | |
| 9 | MICHAEL B. WILLIAMS, | 1:17-cv-00732 SAB (PC) |
| 10 | Plaintiff, | |
| 11 | v. | ORDER GRANTING APPLICATION TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS |
| 12 | SANJEEV BATRA, et al., | |
| 13 | Defendant. | (Doc. No. 2) |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | Plaintiff is a civil detainee proceeding pro se in a civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. | |
| 17 | § 1983. Individuals detained pursuant to California Welfare and Institutions Code § 6600 et seq. | |
| 18 | are civil detainees and are not prisoners within the meaning of the Prison Litigation Reform Act. | |
| 19 | Page v. Torrey, 201 F.3d 1136, 1140 (9th Cir. 2000) | |
| 20 | In the instant action, Plaintiff filed an application to proceed in forma pauperis. | |
| 21 | Examination of these documents reveals that Plaintiff is unable to afford the costs of this action. | |
| 22 | Accordingly, the motion to proceed in forma pauperis is GRANTED. | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | IT IS SO ORDERED. | State 1 P |
| | Dated: June 1, 2017 | LIMITED STATES MACISTRATE HIDSE |
| 25 | | UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE |
| 2526 | II | |
| | | |