

1
2
3
4
5 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
6 **EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
7

8 **VICTOR M. SIENZE,**

9 **Plaintiff**

10 **v.**

11 **SHERIFF'S DEPUTY MATTHEW J.**
12 **KUTZ and SHERIFF'S SERGEANT**
13 **DANIEL N. KERBER,**

14 **Defendants**

CASE NO. 1:17-CV-0736 AWI SAB

ORDER RE: OBJECTIONS TO
EXHIBIT BINDERS

(Docs. 113 and 121)

15 In this case, both sides have objected to the other's preparation of trial exhibit binders. See
16 Docs. 113 and 121. The Pretrial Order required the parties to meet to pre-mark and examine each
17 other's exhibits by February 6, 2019. Doc. 72, 20:9-13. The parties met on February 5, 2019. The
18 parties were unable to come to a mutually agreeable way to mark their exhibits. Each side then
19 submitted their own exhibit binders. While Defendants were not provided a copy of Plaintiff's
20 exhibit binder, Defendants were able to review the binder a few days before trial. Each side has
21 had an opportunity to object to the other's exhibits before the presentation of evidence in this case.
22 All issues with the exhibit binders have been resolved and no sanctions are necessary.

23
24 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

25 Dated: March 28, 2019


26 **SENIOR DISTRICT JUDGE**
27
28