1 2 3 <u>4</u> 5 6 7 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 10 1:17-cv-00737-MJS (HC) CHARLES LAWTON, 11 ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO VACATE (ECF NO. 10) Petitioner. 12 ORDER REQUIRING RESPONDENT TO ٧. 13 FILE RESPONSE 14 ORDER SETTING BRIEFING SCHEDULE WILLIAM MUNIZ, Warden, 15 Respondent. 16 17 18 19 20 21 the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. 22 23 24 25

26

27

28

Petitioner is a state prisoner proceeding pro se with a Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. William Muniz, Warden of Salinas Valley State Prison, is hereby substituted as the proper named respondent pursuant to Rule 25(d) of

On May 31, 2017, the Court issued an order directing Respondent to respond to the petition and setting a briefing schedule. (ECF No. 4.) On July 5, 2017, Petitioner filed a first amended petition. (ECF No. 9.) Before the Court is Respondent's request to vacate the Court's prior order and schedule so that Respondent may instead respond to the amended petition. (ECF No. 10.) The Court will do so.

The Court has conducted a preliminary review of the amended petition. It is not clear from the face of the amended petition whether Petitioner is entitled to relief. 28

U.S.C. § 2243. Accordingly, pursuant to Rule 4 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases and Rule 16 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, ¹ the Court HEREBY ORDERS:

- 1. The Court's prior order directing Respondent to respond and setting a briefing schedule is VACATED;
- 2. Respondent SHALL FILE a RESPONSE to the Amended Petition² within SIXTY (60) days of the date of service of this order. See Rule 4, Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases; <u>Cluchette v. Rushen</u>, 770 F.2d 1469, 1473-1474 (9th Cir. 1985) (court has discretion to fix time for filing a response). A Response can be made by filing one of the following:
 - A. AN ANSWER addressing the merits of the Amended Petition. Respondent SHALL INCLUDE with the Answer any and all transcripts or other documents necessary for the resolution of the issues presented in the Amended Petition. See Rule 5, Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases. In the event Respondent asserts in the ANSWER that Petitioner has procedurally defaulted a claim, Respondent must also address the merits of the claim asserted. Copies of all transcripts and documents shall be filed electronically, unless not possible. See Local Rule 190(f).
 - B. A MOTION TO DISMISS the Amended Petition. A Motion to Dismiss SHALL INCLUDE copies of all Petitioner's state court filings and dispositive rulings. See Rule 5, Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases.³ Copies of

¹ The Federal Rules of Civil Procedure "apply to proceedings for habeas corpus ... to the extent that the practice in those proceedings (A) is not specified in a federal statute, the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases, or the Rules Governing Section 2255 Cases; and (B) has previously conformed to the practice in civil actions." Fed.R.Civ.P. 81(a)(4). Rule 12 also provides "[t]he Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, to the extent that they are not inconsistent with any statutory provisions or these rules, may be applied to a proceeding under these rules." Rule 12, Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases.

² Respondent is advised that a scanned copy of the Petition is available in the Court's electronic case filing system ("CM/ECF").

³ Rule 4 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases provides that upon the court's determination that summary dismissal is inappropriate, the "judge must order the respondent to file an answer or *other pleading . . . or to take other action the judge may order.*" Rule 4, Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases (continued...)

874 F.2d 599, 60203 (9th Cir. 1989) (providing that Motions to Dismiss pursuant to Rule 4 are proper in a

27

28

federal habeas proceeding.)

³