### UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL on MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

#### IN RE: SMITH & NEPHEW BIRMINGHAM HIP RESURFACING (BHR) HIP IMPLANT PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

MDL No. 2775

## (SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)

## CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO -19)

On April 5, 2017, the Panel transferred 21 civil action(s) to the United States District Court for the District of Maryland for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. *See* \_F.Supp.3d\_ (J.P.M.L. 2017). Since that time, 40 additional action(s) have been transferred to the District of Maryland. With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable Catherine C. Blake.

It appears that the action(s) on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred to the District of Maryland and assigned to Judge Blake.

Pursuant to Rule 7.1 of the <u>Rules of Procedure of the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict</u> <u>Litigation</u>, the action(s) on the attached schedule are transferred under 28 U.S.C. § 1407 to the District of Maryland for the reasons stated in the order of April 5, 2017, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Catherine C. Blake.

This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the District of Maryland. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed 7 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 7–day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.

pe	asmuch as no objection is nding at this time, the ay is lifted.
	Jul 17, 2017
	CLERK'S OFFICE UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

FOR THE PANEL:

Jeffery N. Lüthi Clerk of the Panel



Dockets.Justia.com

#### IN RE: SMITH & NEPHEW BIRMINGHAM HIP RESURFACING (BHR) HIP IMPLANT PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

MDL No. 2775

## SCHEDULE CTO-19 - TAG-ALONG ACTIONS

## DIST DIV. C.A.NO. CASE CAPTION

#### CALIFORNIA EASTERN

CAE 1 17–00805 Lunsford v. Smith & Nephew, Inc. CCB-17-1981

# CALIFORNIA NORTHERN

CAN 3 17–03649 Constantini v. Smith & Nephew, Inc. CCB-17-1982