1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	JOHNNY LEE BRIGGS,	1:17-cv-00814-EPG (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER TRANSFERRING CASE TO THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
13	v.	
14	T. ENRIQUEZ,	
15	Defendant.	
16		
17	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed a civil rights action pursuant to 42	
18	U.S.C. § 1983.	
19	The federal venue statute requires that a civil action, other than one based on diversity	
20	jurisdiction, be brought only in "(1) a judicial district where any defendant resides, if all	
21	defendants reside in the same state, (2) a judicial district in which a substantial part of the events	
22	or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred, or a substantial part of the property that is the	
23	subject of the action is situated, or (3) a judicial district in which any defendant may be found, if	
24	there is no district in which the action may otherwise be brought." 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b).	
25	In this case, none of the defendants reside in this district. The claim arose in San Luis	
26	Obispo County, which is in the Central District of California. Therefore, plaintiff's claim should	
27	have been filed in the United States District Court for the Central District of California. In the	
28	interest of justice, a federal court may transfer a complaint filed in the wrong district to the	

1	correct district. <u>See</u> 28 U.S.C. § 1406(a); <u>Starnes v. McGuire</u> , 512 F.2d 918, 932 (D.C. Cir.	
2	1974).	
3	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this matter is transferred to the United	
4	States District Court for the Central District of California.	
5		
6	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
7	Dated: June 20, 2017 /s/ Encir P. Grosp	
8	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		