## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

PAUL JORGENSON,

v.

Plaintiff,

THOMAS MOORE, M.D. et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 1:17-cv-00817-LJO-EPG (PC) ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

(ECF NOS. 19 & 20)

Paul Jorgenson ("Plaintiff") is a federal prisoner proceeding *pro se* and *in forma pauperis* in this action. The matter was referred to a United States magistrate judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.

On July 20, 2018, Magistrate Judge Erica P. Grosjean entered findings and recommendations, recommending that this action proceed on Plaintiff's Federal Tort Claims Act ("FTCA") "claim against the United States, his Eighth Amendment <u>Bivens</u> claim against the four unknown correctional officers, and his state tort claims for medical negligence and battery against Defendants Haak, Randhawa, and Emanuel Medical Center." (ECF No. 20, p. 12). Judge Grosjean also recommended "that all other claims and defendants be dismissed with prejudice." (<u>Id.</u>).

Plaintiff was provided an opportunity to file objections to the findings and recommendations. The deadline for filing objections has passed, and Plaintiff has not filed objections or otherwise responded to the findings and recommendations.

In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 304, this Court has conducted a *de novo* review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the Court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and proper
analysis.

3	Accordingly, THE COURT HEREBY ORDERS that:	
4	1.	The findings and recommendations issued by the magistrate judge on July 20,
5		2018, are ADOPTED in full;
6	2.	This case will proceed on Plaintiff's FTCA claim against the United States, his
7		Eighth Amendment Bivens claim against the four unknown correctional officers,
8		and his state tort claims for medical negligence and battery against Defendants
9		Haak, Randhawa, and Emanuel Medical Center;
10	3.	All other claims and defendants are DISMISSED;
11	4.	The Clerk of Court is DIRECTED to reflect the dismissal of defendant Thomas
12		Moore, M.D., on the Court's docket; and
13	5.	This case is referred back to the magistrate judge for further proceedings.
14		
15	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
16	Dated:	August 31, 2018/s/ Lawrence J. O'NeillUNITED STATES CHIEF DISTRICT JUDGE
17		UNITED STATES CHIEF DISTRICT JUDGE
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
		2