1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 10 A.W. by and through his mother, AMY Case No.: 1:17-cv-00854 DAD JLT 11 WRIGHT, 12 ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION Plaintiff, TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS (Doc. 4) 13 v. ORDER DIRECTING CLERK TO ISSUE 14 SUMMONS AND CASE DOCUMENTS TEHACHAPI UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT. 15 ORDER DIRECTING SERVICE OF THE Defendant. **COMPLAINT** 16 17 A.W. seeks to proceed in forma pauperis with an action for judicial review of the administrative 18 19 decision related to his IDEA claims. Pending before the Court are the complaint and the motioN to 20 proceed in forma pauperis. For the following reasons, the Court finds service of the complaint is 21 appropriate. 22 Proceeding in forma pauperis 23 The Court may authorize the commencement of an action without prepayment of fees "by a 24 person who submits an affidavit that includes a statement of all assets such person . . . possesses [and] that the person is unable to pay such fees or give security therefor." 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a). The Court 25 26 reviewed the financial status affidavit (Doc. 4) and finds the requirements of 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a) are

satisfied. Therefore, Plaintiff's request to proceed in forma pauperis is **GRANTED**.

27

28

///

II. Screening Requirement

When an individual seeks to proceed *in forma pauperis*, the Court is required to review the complaint and shall dismiss a complaint, or portion of the complaint, if it is "frivolous, malicious or fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted; or . . . seeks monetary relief from a defendant who is immune from such relief." 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(b); 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2). A plaintiff's claim is frivolous "when the facts alleged rise to the level of the irrational or the wholly incredible, whether or not there are judicially noticeable facts available to contradict them." *Denton v. Hernandez*, 504 U.S. 25, 32-33 (1992).

III. Pleading Standards

General rules for pleading complaints are governed by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. A pleading must include a statement affirming the court's jurisdiction, "a short and plain statement of the claim showing the pleader is entitled to relief; and . . . a demand for the relief sought, which may include relief in the alternative or different types of relief." Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(a).

A complaint must give fair notice and state the elements of the plaintiff's claim in a plain and succinct manner. *Jones v. Cmty. Redevelopment Agency*, 733 F.2d 646, 649 (9th Cir. 1984). The purpose of the complaint is to give the defendant fair notice of the claims against him, and the grounds upon which the complaint stands. *Swierkiewicz v. Sorema N.A.*, 534 U.S. 506, 512 (2002). The Supreme Court noted,

Rule 8 does not require detailed factual allegations, but it demands more than an unadorned, the-defendant-unlawfully-harmed-me accusation. A pleading that offers labels and conclusions or a formulaic recitation of the elements of a cause of action will not do. Nor does a complaint suffice if it tenders naked assertions devoid of further factual enhancement.

Ashcroft v. Iqbal, 556 U.S. 662, 678-79 (2009) (internal quotation marks and citations omitted). Vague and conclusory allegations do not support a cause of action. *Ivey v. Board of Regents*, 673 F.2d 266, 268 (9th Cir. 1982). The Court clarified further,

[A] complaint must contain sufficient factual matter, accepted as true, to "state a claim to relief that is plausible on its face." [Citation]. A claim has facial plausibility when the plaintiff pleads factual content that allows the court to draw the reasonable inference that the defendant is liable for the misconduct alleged. [Citation]. The plausibility standard is not akin to a "probability requirement," but it asks for more than a sheer possibility that a defendant has acted unlawfully. [Citation]. Where a complaint pleads facts that are "merely consistent with" a defendant's liability, it "stops short of

the line between possibility and plausibility of 'entitlement to relief.' 1 2 *Igbal*, 556 U.S. at 679 (citations omitted). When factual allegations are well-pled, a court should 3 assume their truth and determine whether the facts would make the plaintiff entitled to relief; legal conclusions are not entitled to the same assumption of truth. *Id.* The Court may grant leave to amend a 4 complaint to the extent deficiencies of the complaint can be cured by an amendment. Lopez v. Smith, 5 203 F.3d 1122, 1127-28 (9th Cir. 2000) (en banc). 6 7 IV. **Discussion and Analysis** 8 Plaintiff seeks review of a decision by an Administrative Law Judge finding that the defendant provided a Free and Appropriate Education and to recover attorney's fees. (Doc. 1) The Court has jurisdiction over this matter. 20 U.S.C. § 1415(i)(2); 28 U.S.C. § 1367. 10 V. 11 **Conclusion and Order** 12 Plaintiff's complaint states a cognizable claim for review of the administrative decision denying Social Security benefits. Based upon the foregoing, the Court **ORDERS**: 13 1. Plaintiff's motion to proceed in forma pauperis (Doc. 4) is **GRANTED**; 14 The Clerk of Court is DIRECTED to issue summons as to the defendant, Tehachapi 15 2. 16 Unified School District; The Clerk of Court is DIRECTED to issue and serve Plaintiff with Case Documents, 17 3. 18 including the Order regarding Consent, the Consent Form, and USM-285 Forms; and 19 4. The U.S. Marshal is DIRECTED to serve a copy of the complaint, summons, and this 20 order upon the defendant as directed by Plaintiff in the USM Forms. 21 IT IS SO ORDERED. 22 23 /s/ Jennifer L. Thurston August 2, 2017 Dated: 24 25 26

27

28