1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	DANNY MCMANUS,) Case No.: 1:17-cv-00892-SAB (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	AMENDED ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY ACTION SHOULD NOT BE DISMISSED FOR FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH A COURT ORDER [ECF Nos. 9, 10]
13	v.	
14	MATT JONES, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		<u></u>
17	Plaintiff Danny McManus is appearing pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action	
18	pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c), Plaintiff consented to the jurisdiction of	
19	the United States Magistrate Judge on July 19, 2017. Local Rule 302.	
20	On August 9, 2017, the Court issued an order authorizing service of Plaintiff's complaint, and	
21	requiring Plaintiff to fill out and return the USM-285 forms and summonses within thirty days. (Doc.	
22	9.) More than thirty days have passed and Plaintiff has not complied with or otherwise responded to	
23	the order. Therefore, on September 19, 2017, the Court issued an order to show cause why the action	
24	should not be dismissed, without prejudice, for failure to comply with a court order. However, the	
25	Court inadvertently failed to provide a deadline for Plaintiff to respond to the order to show cause.	
26	///	
27	///	
28	///	

Accordingly, it is HEREBY ORDERED that within **thirty** (30) days from the date of service of this order, Plaintiff shall show cause in writing why the action should not be dismissed, without prejudice, for failure to comply with a court order.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: September 20, 2017

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

July S. Be