1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	ROBERT C. WILLIAMS,) Case No. 1:17-cv-00916-DAD-SAB (PC)	
12	Plaintiff,)) \\\\\\ ORDER DISREGARDING PLAINTIFF'S	
13	v.	MOTION FOR DISCOVERY	
14	GERARDO ALCALA, et al.,) [ECF No. 29]	
15	Defendants.))	
16)	
17	Plaintiff Robert C. Williams is appearing pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action		
18	pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c), Plaintiff consented to the jurisdiction of		
19	the United States Magistrate Judge on August 14, 2017. Local Rule 302.		
20	On January 23, 2018, Plaintiff filed a motion requesting discovery. (ECF No. 29.) On		
21	December 15, 2017, Defendants filed an answer to the complaint, and the Court opened discovery on		
22	December 21, 2017. (ECF Nos. 20, 21.) Plaintiff is informed that court permission is not necessary		
23	for discovery requests and that neither discovery requests served on an opposing party nor that party's		
24	responses should be filed until such time as a party becomes dissatisfied with a response and seeks		
25	relief. Thus, discovery requests and responses shall not be filed with the Court unless, and until, they		
26	are at issue. Here, Plaintiff's discovery request is directed to Defendants and should be served on		
27	opposing counsel only.		
28			

1	Accordingly, it is HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff's motion for discovery, filed on January	
2	23, 2018, is DISREGARDED. (ECF No. 29.)	
3		
4	IT IS SO ORDERED.	CIP
5	Dated: January 24, 2018	Jung A. De
6		UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
		2