1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	ORLANDO VIBANCO,	Case No. 1:17-cv-00926-AWI-HBK (HC)	
12	Petitioner,	ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS (Doc. No. 28)	
13	v.		
14	SHAWN HATTON, ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR W OF HABEAS CORPUS		
15	Respondent.	ORDER DIRECTING CLERK OF COURT TO ENTER JUDGMENT AND CLOSE	
16 17		CASE	
17 18			
18 19	Petitioner Orlando Vibanco is a state prisoner proceeding in propria persona with a		
20		28 U.S.C. § 2254. (Doc. No. 15.) On August 28,	
20 21	2020, the Magistrate Judge assigned to the case issued Findings and Recommendations to deny		
21	the petition. (Doc. No. 28.) These Findings and Recommendations were served upon all parties		
22	and contained notice that any objections were to be filed within thirty days from the date of		
	service of that order. To date, no party has filed objections.		
24	In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b)(1)(C), the Court has conducted a		
25 26	de novo review of the case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the Court concludes that		
26 27	the Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations are supported by the record and proper		
27	analysis.		
28		1	

1	In addition, the Court declines to issue a certificate of appealability. A state prisoner		
2	seeking a writ of habeas corpus has no absolute entitlement to appeal a district court's denial of		
3	his petition, and an appeal is only allowed in certain circumstances. Miller-El v. Cockrell, 537		
4	U.S. 322, 335-336 (2003). The controlling statute in determining whether to issue a certificate of		
5	appealability is 28 U.S.C. § 2253, which provides as follows:		
6	(a) In a habeas corpus proceeding or a proceeding under section 2255 before a district		
7	judge, the final order shall be subject to review, on appeal, by the court of appeals for the circui in which the proceeding is held.		
8	(b) There shall be no right of appeal from a final order in a proceeding to test the		
9	enarged with a eminiar offense against the Office States, of to test the validity of such person		
10	detention pending removal proceedings.		
11	(c)(1) Unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability, an appeal may not be taken to the court of appeals from—		
12	(A) the final order in a habeas corpus proceeding in which the detention		
13	complained of arises out of process issued by a State court; or		
14	(B) the final order in a proceeding under section 2255.		
15	(2) A certificate of appealability may issue under paragraph (1) only if the applicant has made a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right.		
16	(3) The certificate of appealability under paragraph (1) shall indicate which		
17	specific issue or issues satisfy the showing required by paragraph (2).		
18	If a court denies a petitioner's petition, the court may only issue a certificate of		
19	appealability when a petitioner makes a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right.		
20	28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2). To make a substantial showing, the petitioner must establish that		
21	"reasonable jurists could debate whether (or, for that matter, agree that) the petition should have		
22	been resolved in a different manner or that the issues presented were 'adequate to deserve		
23	encouragement to proceed further."" Slack v. McDaniel, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000) (quoting		
24	Barefoot v. Estelle, 463 U.S. 880, 893 (1983)).		
25	In the present case, the Court finds that Petitioner has not made the required substantial		
26	showing of the denial of a constitutional right to justify the issuance of a certificate of		
27	appealability. Reasonable jurists would not find the Court's determination that Petitioner is not		
28			
	2		

1	entitled to federal habeas corpus relief debatable, wrong, or deserving of encouragement to		
2	proceed further. Thus, the Court DECLINES to issue a certificate of appealability.		
3	Accordingly, the Court orders as follows:		
4	1.	The Findings and Recommendations, filed August 28, 2020 (Doc. No. 28), are	
5		ADOPTED IN FULL;	
6	2.	The petition for writ of habeas corpus is DENIED;	
7	3.	The Clerk of Court shall ENTER JUDGMENT and CLOSE the file; and,	
8	4.	The Court DECLINES to issue a certificate of appealability.	
9			
10	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
11	Dated: <u>December 3, 2020</u> SENIOR DISTRICT JUDGE		
12		SENIOR DISTRICT JUDGE	
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	1	3	