1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
8	DIERDRE JACQUOT, et al.,	Case No. 1:17-cv-00945-DAD-SKO
10	Plaintiffs,	ORDER DIRECTING CLERK TO
11		TERMINATE DEFENDANT SUNSET SQUARE
12	V.	(Doc. 9)
13	SUNSET SQUARE, et al.,	
14	Defendants.	
15		
16		
17	On September 13, 2017, Plaintiffs filed a Notice of Voluntary Dismissal of Party	
18	Defendant Sunset Square, in which they notify the Court that they dismiss Defendant Sunset	
19	Square from this action without prejudice. (Doc. 9.) Plaintiffs filed this notice before an opposing	
20	party served either an answer or a motion for summary judgment. As such, Plaintiffs have	
21	voluntarily dismissed Defendant Sunset Square without prejudice pursuant to Federal Rule of	
22	Civil Procedure 41(a)(1)(A)(i). The Court therefore DIRECTS the Clerk to terminate Defendant Sunset Square on the	
23		
24	docket for this case.	
25	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
26	II II DO GIOLIGID.	
27	Dated: September 19, 2017	<u>Isl Sheila K. Oberto</u> UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
28		OMILD STATES MADISTRATE JUDGE