1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
9	EASTERN DISTRIC	T OF CALIFORNIA
10		
11	AMANDA WAGNER and HEATHER LIND,	) Case No. 1:17-cv-00969-DAD-JLT
12	on behalf of themselves and all similarly situated individuals,	) STIPULATION TO CONTINUE ) MANDATORY SCHEDULING
13	Plaintiffs,	) CONFERENCE; ORDER THEREON
14	v. COUNTY OF INYO,	
15		
16	Defendant.	)
17	The undersigned represent the named	parties to this action and, by signing this
18	stipulation, each and every party to this action ag	grees to the following:
19	WHEREAS, on July 20, 2017, Plaintin	ffs, on behalf of themselves and all similarly
20	situated individuals, brought this action against	Defendant alleging violations of the Fair Labor
21	Standards Act ("FLSA"), 29 U.S.C. §§ 201, et se	eq.
22	WHEREAS, on July 20, 2017, the Court	t issued an Order Setting Mandatory Scheduling
23	Conference in this action for October 18, 2017, a	and ordering the parties to file a Joint Scheduling
24	Report on or before October 11, 2017, and furt	her ordering the parties to conduct a Rule 26(f)
25	conference on or before September 28, 2017 that	t is to include discussion of potential settlement.
26	WHEREAS, the parties are meeting	and conferring over filing a stipulation for
27	conditional certification of this case as a collec	ctive action pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) and
28	Hoffmann-La Roche v. Sperling, 493 U.S. 165 (	1989) and facilitating notice to similarly situated
	STIPULATION TO CONTINUE	Wagner et al y County of Invo

1	individuals. It is the intent of the parties,	that through this stipulation, it will ensure an efficient	
2	resolution of the claims alleged in this action for Plaintiffs and any other individuals that may be		
3	similarly situated as well as adjudication	of Defendant's liability, if any, as alleged. (See 29	
4	U.S.C. § 216(b), See also Hoffmann-La Ro	oche v. Sperling, 493 U.S. 165 (1989).)	
5	WHEREAS, the parties agree that	t in order to conserve the resources of the Court, and	
6	enable the parties to complete negotiation	on over a stipulation for conditional certification and	
7	settlement discussions that may obviate th	e need to engage in further litigation, good cause exists	
8	to continue the Mandatory Scheduling Co	onference, and related deadlines, for at least 60 days to	
9	allow the parties to pursue their stipulation.		
10	NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED, by and between the parties, that:		
11	a) The Mandatory Scheduling Conference shall be continued to, at the earliest,		
12	December 18, 2017, or such date thereafter that is convenient for the Court; and		
13	b) The parties' Joint Scheduling Report shall be filed no later than seven (7) days prior to		
14	the rescheduled Mandatory Scheduling Conference; and		
15	c) The deadline for the parties to conduct a Rule 26(f) conference shall be extended to at		
16	least twenty (20) days before the rescheduled Mandatory Scheduling Conference.		
17	Respectfully submitted,		
18			
19	Dated: September 27 2017	MASTAGNI HOLSTEDT, APC	
20		By: <u>/s/ Ace. T. Tate</u> DAVID E. MASTAGNI, ESQ.	
21		ISAAC S. STEVENS, ESQ. ACE T. TATE, ESQ.	
22		Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
23	Dated: September 27, 2017	ATKINSON, ANDELSON, LOYA, RUUD &	
24		ROMO	
25		By: <u>/s/ Nate J. Kowalksi</u>	
26		NATE J. KOWLASKI, ESQ. Attorneys for Defendant	
27			
28			
	STIPULATION TO CONTINUE MANDATORY SCHEDULING CONFERENCE	Wagner, et al. v. County of Inyo2Case No.: 1:17-cv-00969-DAD-JLT	

1	ORDER		
2	Based on the stipulation of the parties, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:		
3	1. The Mandatory Scheduling Conference is continued to <b>December 21, 2017</b> at		
4	8:45 a.m. before the Honorable Jennifer L. Thurston, Magistrate Judge;		
5	2. The parties' Joint Scheduling Report shall be filed no later than seven (7) days		
6	prior to the Mandatory Scheduling Conference; and		
7	3. The parties SHALL conduct a Rule 26(f) conference no later than		
8	November 27, 2017.		
9	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
10			
11	Dated:September 29, 2017/s/ Jennifer L. ThurstonUNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE		
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19 20			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25 26			
26 27			
27 28			
20			
	STIPULATION TO CONTINUE Wagner, et al. v. County of Inyo   MANDATORY SCHEDULING CONFERENCE 3   Case No.: 1:17-cv-00969-DAD-JLT		